# Skidmore College

# Chair/Program Director Handbook



2014-2015

Also available online: <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/dof/index.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/dof/index.cfm</a>

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

DIRECTOR I 2014-2015	1
IMPORTANT DATES FOR DEPARTMENTS & PROGRAMS 2014-2015	4
FACULTY ON LEAVE ~ 2014-2015	5
REAPPOINTMENT AND TENURE ELIGIBILITY	7
INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION AND READINGS	9
PART ONE - PERSONNEL	22
I. FACULTY RECRUITMENT	22
A. How to Submit a Proposal for a Tenure-Track Search	
1. Developing an Inclusive Search Plan and a Search Committee Process for Tenure-Track Appointments	
Developing a Position Description, Profile and Advertisement	
3. Recruiting an Inclusive Candidate Pool	
4. Campus Visits	
5. Interview and Selection	
6. Checking References	
7. Skidmore Protocol/Guidelines for Making an Offer	
8. Resources	
9. Guidelines for Use of Research Funds for New Tenure-Track Faculty	
B. How to Request Hiring Faculty in Contingent Appointments	
1. Request for Faculty in Contingent Appointments	
2. Search Guidelines for Faculty in Contingent Appointments	
C. Diversity in Hiring: Strategic Considerations	
D. Legal and Illegal Pre-Employment Inquiries	
2. Pre-employment Inquiries	
3. Lawful and Unlawful Pre-Employment Inquiries	
E. Adjunct Faculty Pay Scales and FTE Chart	
F. Authorization to Employ (ATE) Process for Faculty Appointments	
1. Authorization to Employ (ATE) and Advertisement	
2. Contract Request	
II. FACULTY EVALUATION	40
A. Annual Faculty Evaluation Letters	40
B. Guidelines for Individual Faculty Annual Reports and Summary of Activities	41
C. Second and Third Year Reappointment cases for Tenure Track Faculty	42
D. Stop Tenure Clock Policy	
E. Suggested Guidelines for Writing Letters in Reappointment and Tenure Cases	43
F. Procedures for Evaluation of Program Directors Tenure-Track or Tenured to a Department	
G. Overview of Faculty Evaluation	47
III. CAPT Calendar	48
IV. RETIREMENT PROCESS	
V. Phased Employment Guidelines	
VI. APPOINTMENT AND REVIEW OF DEPARTMENT CHAIRS	53
A. Criteria for Appointment	53
R Procedures for Annointment	5.3

C. Procedures for Review	53
D. Obligations Pertaining to Department Chairs	53
VII. APPOINTMENT, REVIEW, AND EVALUATION OF DIRECTORS	
A. Criteria for Appointment	
B. Procedures for Appointment	
C. Procedures for Review	
D. Obligations Pertaining to Program Directors	
VIII. LINKS TO PERSONNEL POLICIES AND HANDBOOKS	
PART TWO - THE ACADEMIC PROGRAM	
I. Guidelines for Department/Program BiEnnIal Reports	58
II. ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT AT SKIDMORE COLLEGE	
A. Annual Assessment Report	
B. The Purpose	
C. The Role of the Chair	
D. Assessment Methods – A Short Overview	
1. Direct assessment methods	
Direct assessment methods     Indirect assessment methods	
III. GUIDELINES FOR ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEWS	
A. Goals and Process	
B. Program Review Timeline and Details	
C. Draft Itinerary for Reviewer's Visit	
D. Schedule of Department/Program Reviews	
IV. GUIDELINES FOR THE RETENTION OF FILES IN DEPARTMENT OFFICES	
V. The Curriculum	
A. Curricular Changes Requiring Committee Approval	
B. General Considerations	
C. Procedures	
D. Deadlines	
E. Supplemental Information About Forms	
F. CEPP- Curriculum Committee Statement on Maximum Caps	
G. Links To Other Curriculum Information	
VI. FACULTY RESPONSE TO STUDENT INTEGRITY PROBLEMS	74
VII. HELPFUL LINKS:	76
PART THREE - TRAVEL AND ENTERTAINMENT POLICY	77
I. Purpose	77
II. RESPONSIBILITY	77
III. SKIDMORE COLLEGE TRAVEL POLICY	78
A. Costs	
B. Motor Vehicle Policy	79
C. Lodging, Meals and Incidentals	82
1. Lodging	82
2. Meals and Alcoholic Beverages	82
3. Cash Advances	
4. College Guest Travel	
IV. Entertainment Policy	
A. Meals	83
B. Entertainment of Students	83

C. Entertainment of Faculty/Staff Members	84
D. Gifts	
E. Illness, Birth and Condolence Gestures	
F. Exceptions to the Policy	
G. Reporting and Approval of Expenditures	
INDEV	0.5
INDEX	ðo

	American Chudica	Don Nother	F022	Tioch
	American Studies Anthropology	Dan Nathan Michael Ennis-McMillan	5023 5414	Tisch Dana
	Art (Studio)	David Peterson	5045	Saisselin
	Art History	Mimi Hellman	5058	Filene
	Biology	Pat Hilleren	8301	Dana
	Chemistry	Kim Frederick	5132	Dana
	Classics	Michael Arnush	5462	Ladd
D	Dance	Debra Fernandez (Fall)	5377	Dance Center
E	Dance	Debia i emandez (i ali)	5511	Dance Center
Р	Economics	Peter von Allmen	5092	Harder
A	Education Studies	Joanna Zangrando (Fall)	5142	Palamountain
	English	Mason Stokes	5184	Palamountain
R	Foreign Languages & Literatures	Maria Lander	5231	Palamountain
Т	Geosciences	Kyle Nichols	5194	Dana
M	Government	Aldo Vacs	5249	Ladd
E	Health and Exercise Sciences	Pat Fehling	5376	Sports Center
N	History	Tillman Nechtman	5268	Tisch
T	Management & Business	Tim Harper (Fall 2014)	5111	Palamountain
•	Management & Business	Caroline D'Abate (Spring 2015)	5119	Palamountain
_	Math & Computer Science	David Vella	5291	Harder
С	Music	Gordon Thompson	5322	Zankel
Н	Philosophy & Religion	Bill Lewis	5402	Ladd
Α	Physics	Mary Odekon	5123	Dana
- 1	Psychology	Holley Hodgins	5309	Tisch
R	Social Work	Crystal Moore	5428	Tisch
	Sociology	John Brueggemann	5421	Tisch
S	Theater	Lary Opitz	5432	Bernhard Theater
				Filene
	Arts Administration Asian Studies	David Howson Gautam Dasgupta	8445 5435	Theater
	Computer Science	Michael Eckmann	5294	Harder
_	Documentary Studies Collaborative	Jordana Dym	5272	Tisch
D	Environmental Studies	Bob Turner	5251	Ladd
PΙ	First-Year Experience	Janet Casey	8111	Starbuck
R R	Gender Studies	Kate Graney	5242	Ladd
O E	InterGroup Relations	Jenni Mueller (Fall 2014)	5441	Tisch
GC	more roup relations	Kristie Ford (Spring 2015)	5425	110011
RT	Intercultural Studies	Kristie Ford	5425	Tisch
	International Affairs	Ela Lepkowska-White	5113	Palamountain
A O	Latin American Studies	·	5217	Palamountain
MR		Viviana Rangil		
S	Media and Film Studies	Joanne Devine (Co-Director))	5162	Palamountain
		Tom Lewis (Co-Director	5172	
	Neuroscience	Hassan López	5314	Tisch
	Periclean Honors Forum	Kate Greenspan	5167	Palamountain
	Quantitative Reasoning Program	Rachel Roe-Dale	5290	Harder
	Religious Studies	Mary Stange	5408	Ladd
	Self-Determined Majors	Guiseppe Faustini	5206	Palamountain
Be	au Breslin, Dean of the Faculty and Vice President for	Academic Affairs	5705	Palamountain
Pa	ricia Rubio, Associate Dean of the Faculty for Personn	el, Development, & Diversity	5705	Palamountain
Ka	Karen Kellogg, Associate Dean of the Faculty for Infrastructure, Sustainability, & Civic Engagement		5705	Palamountain
Co	rey Freeman-Gallant, Associate Dean of the Faculty fo	r Academic Policy & Advising	5720	Starbuck
	ul Calhoun, Dean of Special Programs		5229	Filene
	Berry, Dayton Director, Tang Teaching Museum & Art	<u> </u>	5533	Tang
	Ruth Copans, College Librarian/Special Collections Librarian, Scribner Library			Scribner Library
	Bill Duffy, Chief Technology Officer, Information Technology			Harder
	Joe Stankovich, Director of Institutional Research			Harder
	Sarah Goodwin, Faculty Assessment Coordinator			Palamountain
	Dave DeConno, Registrar			Starbuck
	Erica Bastress-Dukehart, Director of Center for Leadership in Teaching and Learning			Tisch
	Lisa Christiansen, Assessment Facilitator			Harder
	Cori Filson, Director, Office of Off-Campus Study & Exchanges (OCSE)			Starbuck
	Bill Tomlinson, Director, Sponsored Research			Palamountain
	Mary Ellen Towne, Director, Early Childhood Center			Palamountain
	Kim Marsella, Director, Academic Advising			Starbuck
	ry Pritzker, Director, Foundation and Corporate Relation		5654	North Hall
	etta Greenholtz, Environmental Health and Safety Offi		5136	Palamountain
	equie Scoones, Director, Master of Arts in Liberal Studi		5151	Filene
	aron Arpey, Director, Community Education & Summer		5598	Filene
	chel Seligman, Assistant Director for Curatorial Affairs,	<u> </u>	8324	Tang Filene
	Auden Thomas, Director, Summer Sessions and Summer Special Programs 5147			
	ra Clark, Director, Higher Education Opportunity Progra	TBD	TBD	
	th DuPont, Director, IT-Academic Technologies	5917	Harder	

	ACADEMIC SUPPORT STAFF		
American Studies	Susan Matrazzo	5261	Tisch
Anthropology	Theresa Wagner	5707	Tisch
Art History	Terri Brandt	5053	Filene
Art Studio	Jill Jones	5030	Saisselin
Athletics, Fitness and Recreation	Sharon Shearman	5371	Sports/Rec. Ctr.
Biology	Tracy Riley	5120	Dana
Biology	Colleen Kelly	8365	Dana
Chemistry	Tracy Riley	5120	Dana
Chemistry	Colleen Kelly	8365	Dana
Classics	Ruby Grande	5400	Ladd
Dance	Debra Nichols	5360	Sports/Rec. Ctr.
Early Childhood Center	Peggy Daly	5140	Palamountain
Economics	Amelia Clarke	5091	Harder
Education Studies	Peggy Daly	5140	Palamountain
English	Theresa Knickerbocker	5150	Palamountain
Foreign Languages & Literatures	Patty Ivory	5200	Palamountain
Geosciences	Carol Goody	5948	Dana
Government	Barbara McDonough	5240	Ladd
Health & Exercise Sciences	Debra Nichols	5360	Sports/Rec. Ctr.
History	Susan Matrazzo	5261	Tisch
Management & Business	Terri Kindl	5100	Palamountain
Math & Computer Science	Kim Newsom	5280	Harder
Music	Michele Koskinen	5320	Zankel
Philosophy & Religion	Ruby Grande	5400	Ladd
Physics	Carol Goody	5948	Dana
Psychology	Carol Lloyd	5300	Tisch
Scribner Library	Lori Keyes-Acee	5506	Scribner
Sociology	Linda Santagato	5411	Tisch
Social Work	Linda Santagato	5411	Tisch
Tang Teaching Museum & Art Gallery	Kelly Ward	8322	Tang
Theater	Kathelyn M. Mendenhall	5431	J.K. Bernhard
	ACADEMIC PROGRAMS	-	
Arts Administration	Terri Brandt	5053	Library
Asian Studies	Kim Newsom	5280	Harder
Environmental Studies	Carol Goody	5948	Dana
First-Year Experience	Allie Taylor	8111	Starbuck
Gender Studies	Barbara McDonough	5240	Ladd
Honors Forum	Lisa Bradshaw	5102	Dana
IGR Program	TBD	TBD	TBD
Intercultural Studies	TBD	TBD	TBD
International Affairs	Theresa Wagner	5707	Dana
Latin American Studies Minor	Patty Ivory	5722	Palamountain
Neuroscience	Carol Lloyd	5300	Tisch
Self-Determined Major	DOF Office	TBD	TBD

### **IMPORTANT DATES FOR DEPARTMENTS & PROGRAMS 2014-2015**

### Fall 2014 Semester

August & September		November	
8/27	Academic Staff & DC/PD Retreat	11/7	Faculty Meeting
8/28 & 8/29	New Faculty Orientation	11/4	Registration for Spring 2015
9/3	Classes Begin		semester
9/5	Faculty Meeting	11/17	Withdrawal Deadline
		11/26-11/30	Thanksgiving Vacation
$\sim$ 1		- 1	
<u>October</u>		<u>December</u>	
10/10	Faculty Meeting	<u>December</u> 12/5	Faculty Meeting
·	Faculty Meeting Celebration Weekend		Faculty Meeting Classes End
10/10	, 8	12/5	, 0
10/10 10/17-19	Celebration Weekend	12/5 12/10	Classes End
10/10 10/17-19 10/24 10/27-31	Celebration Weekend Study Day	12/5 12/10 12/11-14	Classes End Study Days

#### Spring 2015 Semester

January		<u>April</u>	
1/16	Academic Summit	4/7	Registration for Fall 2015 semester begins
1/19	Martin Luther King Day (observed)	4/8	Withdrawal Deadline
1/20	Classes Begin	4/24	Faculty Meeting
	· ·	4/28	Classes End
Februar	v	4/30-5/3	Study Days
2/6	Faculty Meeting	<u>May</u>	
		5/4-8	Final Examinations
<b>March</b>		5/9	Spring Semester Ends
3/6	Faculty Meeting	5/13	Faculty Meeting
3/14-22	Spring Vacation	5/16	Commencement
3/27	Faculty Meeting	5/20	Academic Staff Retreat
3/30-4/3	Advising Week		
*Reminde	er – Submit ATE & CRF for renewable hires		
– all cont	racts for returning faculty are <u>due back by</u>		

Please visit the Dean of the Faculty website for detailed events calendar and any changes at <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/Dean of the Faculty/index.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/Dean of the Faculty/index.cfm</a>

May 30, 2015

#### **FACULTY ON LEAVE ~ 2014-2015**

#### Sabbatical, Tenured

#### Full Year

- Alice Dean, Professor, Mathematics and Computer Science
- Jennifer Delton, Professor, History
- Gove Effinger, Professor, Mathematics and Computer Science
- Roy Ginsberg, Professor, Government
- Benjamin Givan, Associate Professor, Music
- Catherine Golden, Professor, English
- Reginald Lilly, Professor, Philosophy and Religion
- Mary-Elizabeth O'Brien, Professor, Foreign Languages and Literatures
- Thomas Oles, Associate Professor, Social Work
- Robert Parke-Harrison, Professor, Art
- Rik Scarce, Associate Professor, Sociology
- Denise Smith, Professor, Health and Exercise Sciences
- Marc-Andre Wiesmann, Associate Professor, Foreign Languages and Literatures

#### <u>Fall</u>

- Richard Lindemann, Associate Professor, Geosciences
- Roy Rotheim, Professor, Economics

#### **Spring**

- Philip Boshoff, Associate Professor, English
- Debra Fernandez, Professor, Dance
- Steven Frey, Associate Professor, Chemistry
- Timothy Harper, Associate Professor, Management and Business
- Michael Marx, Associate Professor, English
- Natalie Taylor, Associate Professor, Government

#### Pre-tenure Research Leave

#### Full Year

- Greg Gerbi, Assistant Professor, Physics
- Rebecca Krefting, Assistant Professor, American Studies

#### Spring

• Eunice Ferreira-Lamere, Assistant Professor, Theater

#### Sabbatical, Non-tenure

#### <u>Fall</u>

• Linda Hofmann, Associate Librarian, Scribner Library

#### Spring

• Andrew Krzystyniak, Science and Interlibrary Loan Librarian, Scribner Library

#### **Artist in Residence**

#### Spring

Mary Harney, Artist-in-Residence, Dance

### REAPPOINTMENT AND TENURE ELIGIBILITY

<u>Up for Tenure</u>	Up for Reappointment	Up for Reappointment
2014-15 Amy Frappier – (GE) Heather Hurst – (AN) Larry Jorgensen - (PH) Eric Morser - (HI) Aiwu Zhao - (MB)	2014-15  Tenure Track  Nurcan Atalan-Helicke - (ES)  Luciane Beduschi - (MU)  Silvia Carli - (PH)  Jennifer Day - (HI)  Bina Gogineni - (EN)  Rebecca Howard - (CH)  Sarita Lagalwar - (NS)  Ting Li - (MB)  Feryaz Ocakli - (GO)  Casey Schofield - (PS)  Saleema Waraich - (AH)  Matthew Wilt - (AR)	2015-16  Tenure Track  Rebecca Bays – (PS)  Sonya Chung – (EN)  David Cohen – (MB)  Amon Emeka – (SO)  Stephen Ives – (HEX)  Fabian Lopez – (AR)  Jina Mao – (MB)  Corinne Moss-Racusin – (PS)  Jenni Mueller – (SO)  Juan Navea – (CH)  Robin Nelson – (AN)  Javier Perez-Moreno – (PS)  Mahesh Shankar – (IA)  Joan Swanson – (ES)
2015-16 Hope Casto (ES) Masako Inamoto (FLL) Kendrah Murphy (PY) Kelly Sheppard (CH)	Non-tenure track  Artists-in-Residence Will Bond – (TH) John Nazarenko – (MU) Jan Vinci - (MU)  Scribner Library Ruth Copans Susan Zappen	Artist-in-Residence Kate Bouchard – (TH)  Scribner Library Linda Hofmann Elizabeth Ruane
	<u>Writer-in-Residence</u> Steve Stern – (EN)	Teaching Associates Elaine Larsen – (BI) Denise McQuade – (BI) Patti Steinberger – (BI)  Writer-in-Residence Steven Millhauser - (EN)

# Responsibilities for the Office of the Dean of the Faculty

	Dean of the Faculty and VPAA	Associate Dean of the Faculty for Personnel, Development, and Diversity	Associate Dean of the Faculty for Infrastructure, Sustainability, and Civic Engagement	Associate Dean of the Faculty for Academic Policy and Advising
Strategic Plan	Entire Plan	Goal I, II	Goal III	Goal I
Portfolio	Responsible for broad vision/leadership for AA; planning with academic programs; appointments across AA; tenure-track hiring; Endowed Chairs; appointment of chairs/directors; reappointment, tenure, promotion (as VPAA); Handbook	Assisting in the recruitment of faculty; contingent hires; presentation of cases to CAPT; curricular implementation; staffing; reviewing hiring pools; inclusive hiring workshops; diversity and inclusion initiatives; enrollment; faculty development	Infrastructure (especially around science initiative); special needs assessment and response (sciences, arts); special projects; advances civic engagement initiatives; budgets	Implementation of academic policy as it relates to students (leaves, DQs, curricular policy and integrity violations, advising); student standing; national merit scholarships and student opportunities; experiential learning; curriculum
Committees	CAPT, CEPP, IPPC,	CAPT, CC, CIGU, FDC,	CSS, SPWG, SRC	CC, CAS, MALS, PHF,
Committees	FEC, AC	Assessment	C33, 3F WG, 3NC	SDM, SAIG, Assessment
Direct Reports	Associate Deans	Director Of Intercultural Studies	Foundations/Inst Grants (dotted line)	Registrar's Office
	Assessment	Faculty Network Facilitator	Sponsored Research	FYE
	Dean of Special Programs	OCSE (personnel issues)	Sustainability Coordinator	OCSE (curricular issues)
	IT (dotted line)	Sponsored Research	AVD Coordinator	OAA
	Dept. Chairs/Program Directors		Environmental Health and Safety Officer for AA	Assoc Dir of Pre-Prof & Graduate Study (CDC) (dotted line)
	Director of IR			Coord for Student Academic Devel
	Library			
		OP		OP
	Tang			

#### INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION AND READINGS

#### **ROLE OF CHAIRS/DIRECTORS**

<u>Department Chairs</u>
By Artin Arslanian
(Bennett and Figuli, eds., Enhancing Departmental Leadership, pp. 5-7)

It was by a haphazard process of selection that I became chair of a medium-sized department (10 FTE's). I came to the position unexpectedly and quite unprepared. This was true for many of the new chairs on campus. Most of us had not read about departmental leadership, and our requests to the administration of the college for an orientation seminar went unanswered. Although some of us solicited advice from experienced chairs on campus, it is safe to say that we embarked on our tasks with little experience but full of good intentions. We were resolved to nurture departmental collegiality, encourage excellence in teaching and scholarship, and improve the departmental image on and off campus. We soon learned that these lofty goals were not easily accomplished. We tried our best – with mixed results.

I jotted down a few pointers for the new chair when I recently moved to another college. These are distilled from my experience and the thoughts of other chairs. I share these knowing full well that differences in institutional size, mission, and philosophy may invalidate some of them.

- Always work within the philosophic and pedagogic tradition, and budgetary guidelines of
  your college. There should be a good fit between your plans and the institutional goals and
  realities. Ideas and methods considered brilliant in one institution might be damned in
  another with a different mission, tradition, structure, and clientele.
- Learn to say no early. Resources of all kinds are limited and are invariably outpaced by demand. Do not concentrate most of the resources on a few: all members should receive their fair share. However, make public the criteria informing the distribution of departmental funds and use them consistently; otherwise you'll be (rightly) accused of favoritism or shiftiness.
- Fight the urge to do something as soon as a colleague complains against another or in case of a crisis. First, hear out all parties involved and try to ascertain the facts. Do not become an intermediary or messenger between the feuding parties this will further complicate the problem. Call the grieving individuals to your office, discuss their concerns and ask them to work out a solution, reminding them that we are condemned to live together as a family in these days of almost nonexistent career mobility.
- When chairing departmental meetings, state the issues and let all present have their say first. Do not begin by arguing your case or solutions as it will create the semblance of an adversarial relationship with those who disagree with you they may not even bother to express their opinions. Try to maintain an atmosphere conducive to discussion by all members of the department at the price of not having sufficient opportunity to elaborate your position fully. If here is a minority view, give its proponents a second or third opportunity to make their case,

but avoid taking formal votes that tend to create winners and losers. Summarize the majority view in such a way as to make some concessions to the concerns of the minority and announce it as the reflection of the department's thinking.

- Do not discuss the shortcomings and weaknesses of the department's members with others if
  you want to enhance your credibility and the image of the department. Always talk about
  their contribution, accomplishments, and strength, especially in the case of those who are
  rumored to have criticized you in public.
- Avoid creating an inquisitional atmosphere when evaluating for tenure, promotion, salary raises, or merit pay. Required, frequent, and systematic evaluations are the key to a potentially difficult and sometimes explosive situation. Frequent discussions with individual faculty members about teaching, publications, professional growth, and involvement in the life of the college community create a relaxed environment for open discussion. But make sure to give equal time to the faculty members for the evaluation of your performance as chair and discussion of departmental goals, problems, and priorities. If done frequently and systematically, this procedure will greatly ease the tense atmosphere that permeates yearly evaluations.
- Read the papers and publications of the members of your department, discuss their works with them, and honor their accomplishments in the department and in the wider college community.
- Make sure that candidates visiting the campus are treated professionally. Provide them with
  pertinent information about departmental needs, educational philosophy, salary, and benefits,
  but refrain (and restrain others) from gossiping about the members of the department, the
  faculty at large, or the administration. Indiscretions of this sort have a way of haunting the
  department, and sometimes the college, whether that candidate is offered a job or not.
- If a colleague takes a problem to the dean's office before resorting to all the departmental
  procedures, do not invest additional time on the matter. Just inform the dean that the faculty
  member has failed to exhaust the departmental grievance procedures. A wise dean will refer
  the case back to the department, lest a precedent be set that will soon flood his office with
  requests from faculty.
- Do not check your mail after hours or on weekends. If there are problems, there is very little that you can do about them when everyone is away from the campus. Most probably the only thing you will accomplish is to ruin your evening or weekend!

I am sure that others can easily supplement this list from their experience. Let me close with a confession: I failed to follow some of these guidelines – and often paid the price!

#### TOMORROW'S ACADEMIA: ADVICE FOR FUTURE DEPARTMENT CHAIRS

The Stanford University Center for Teaching and Learning <a href="http://ctl.stanford.edu">http://ctl.stanford.edu</a>

#### Folks:

The posting below has some excellent advice for new, and existing, department chairs. It is by Jeffrey L. Buller, dean of the Wilkes Honors College at Florida Atlantic University [<mailto:jbuller@fau.edu|>jbuller@fau.edu|> The article appeared in The Department Chair: A Resource for Academic Administrators, Spring, 2006, Vol. 16, No. 2. For further information on how to subscribe, as well as pricing and discount information, please contact, Sandy Quade, Account Manager, John Wiley & Sons, Phone: (203) 643-8066 (squadepe@wiley.com). Regards,

Rick Reis reis@stanford.edu

#### Tomorrow's Academia: Advice for Future Department Chairs

People become Department Chairs for any number of reasons, but regardless of the avenues that bring them to the position, there are bound to be questions about how to prepare, what qualities to develop, and what knowledge to gain. No one can be completely prepared for the challenges of the chair position because it is impossible to perfectly predict the situations that will arise. Nevertheless, the following suggestions provide some general advice to keep in mind whether you are actively seeking the position or you are appointed to it.

#### **Learn the Technical Operation of Your Institution**

You probably have a good understanding of how curriculum proposals are approved, budgets are set, disputes are negotiated, and searches are approved within your department. (If you don't, stop reading and go find out now. This knowledge is essential.) But how do these processes work outside your department? For example, which office -- in fact, which specific person in which office -- is contacted when the roof is leaking? Aside from recording students' schedules and grades, what are the responsibilities of the registrar's office? Who ensures that commencement runs smoothly? If an alumnus needs to have a diploma replaced, how do you handle this request? If you wish to change the number of credits required for a major in your discipline, which bodies must approve your proposal and when does it become official? As chair, you will daily be asked questions about the way your department functions and the operational processes of your institution as a whole. Knowing this information will make you more effective.

#### **Develop Conflict Management and Resolution Skills**

No matter how collegial your department may be, the occasional dispute will arise. If your institution offers training in dispute mediation, register for it. If this type of training is not available at your institution, local community colleges or continuing education programs frequently offer it. If neither of these options is available, read a book devoted to this topic. Learn in advance what approaches are most likely to lead to a satisfactory resolution of the conflict. Once issue flairs up at a department

meeting or is dropped in your lap, it's usually too late to start developing the skills you need to address it.

#### Balance Your Administrative Duties with Your Remaining Workload

Some faculty view the chair position as a "career killer": The job takes time away from the research and course improvement needed for promotion, and it holds a high probably of alienating other faculty members when tough decisions are made, yet promises only a low probability of making friends and influencing people." These concerns should be carefully considered, but their reality is less frightening. For every example of a career suffering even modestly because of service as chair, there are dozens of examples of people who are able to successfully balance administration, teaching, and research and whose willingness to make difficult decisions gained them the respect of their peers. Be reasonable about your workload and plan accordingly. Talk to your dean to see if you can gain a better sense of how the demands of the position might affect your schedule. Perhaps you can negotiate an additional course release in order to keep an important scholarly project on track, make arrangements to team-teach a course, or receive additional graduate support for your research project. Most senior administrators have confronted the same question of balancing priorities, and you will discover that there is far more understanding and support than you may realize. Be candid with your dean about your fears. You will likely receive advice on how to handle difficult situations, and you will gain a clearer sense of how well you'll be supported by upper administration.

#### **Become Familiar with Parliamentary Procedure**

Parliamentary procedure will help you negotiate the complexities of the committee structure on your campus, allow you to be more effective in amending or tabling motions when necessary, and place you in a position where your issues are more likely to help shape your institution's agenda. In the heat of a particularly intense debate, claims are often made that parliamentary procedure requires this or that. Since most faculty have only a general sense of whether the claim may be true, decisions can be made that could have been more effectively challenged or debated. Use available resources to acquire expertise in the basics of conducting meetings and the priority of various types of motions.

#### Pick Your Battles

A chair cannot fix every problem or advance every initiative. Those who try either scatter their energies too widely or make the department nervous that everything is going to change. (New chairs will learn that no department is ever ready for as much change as they claim to be.) It is more productive for chairs to focus their attention on a few important improvements than to try to do too much. After your term as chair is over your legacy is likely to be only one or two significant achievements anyway. Do those well and you will have made the best contribution possible.

#### **Expand Your View**

As chair, you will need to advocate for and view matters from the entire purview of your discipline. At the very least, you will need to start thinking of yourself as a psychologist rather than as a clinical psychologist, a historian rather than as a U.S. historian, a physicist rather than as a solid state physicist. Consider issues from the perspective of your entire college, institution, or university system. It can sometimes be better to forgo a more immediate desire for the benefit of a longer-term need. Every department and institution is different, but in general you'll benefit most by making decisions based on what you believe is right than on what you believe is expedient. Postponing the creation of a new department line to increase staffing in admissions or the development office could alter the financial chemistry of your institution so that there are more new lines in the future. Allowing a new position to be added in another department may serve your majors better than staffing a new position in your

own department. Like a chess player, an effective Department Chair must view the entire board to see how an individual move affects every other. You will be a far better advocate for your discipline if you understand how it fits into the overall needs of students, faculty, and other university constituents.

#### It's Not Personal

If you've ever had the privilege of directing students in a play or taking them on an extended trip abroad, you're well aware of the phenomenon of suddenly becoming the lightning rod for others' discontent. The wise director or program leader knows this is going to happen and doesn't take it personally. Somehow, this lesson, so easily learned in situations involving students, is harder to recall when we're dealing with faculty. The psychology that occurs during long, intense work with any group will occur when you're serving as Department Chair. One or more faculty members may sometimes treat you coldly, and you'll be convinced that they're upset with something you did or said. Only later will you discover that the cause of their apparent rudeness had nothing at all to do with you. On other days, faculty will blame you for everything that is going wrong, even if you had no control over the situation. Don't let this common occurrence distract you from what you need to do and want to accomplish. As chair, you will occasionally be the object of frustration and animosity simply because these emotions require some outlet. Remember that all that anger is really directed at the chair itself, not at you as a person.

#### Find a Mentor

Every new chair can benefit from occasional conversations with someone who has gone through the same experiences and who knows how the system works. If your institution does not provide you with a formal mentor, take the initiative to find your own. Choose a chair or former chair in a department that is similar to yours in size, mission, or focus. It is generally best that your mentor not be a former chair of your department. Such an individual, while wanting in most cases to be helpful, is likely still to be involved (at least tangentially) in department politics and anything you say could be used against you. Former chairs of your department will inevitably have an agenda and their own way of doing things; your goal is to discover the way that works best for you, not to adopt what worked well for someone else. Your mentor will provide you with advice and counsel, but it is up to you to decide whether to follow that advice.

#### Find a Confidante

Find someone you can talk to, even vent to, when necessary. Having a person to whom you can turn when you need to voice your frustrations is not the same as having a mentor. Mentors provide advice; confidantes lend an ear. A good confidante is someone you can talk to freely without worrying that it's going to get back to your faculty or upper administration. For this reason, the person you choose as an outlet for your deepest concerns and annoyances should never be a member of your current institution. The further away from your institution this person is, the better. Everyone needs someone to vent to now and then, but do so wisely and only when it is absolutely necessary. No one likes to feel that every time they hear from someone it is about a new complaint. Moreover, even the things you say in the greatest confidence could be repeated to the wrong people. Use your confidante carefully, and cherish a good one who comes your way.

#### **Gain Experience from Every Opportunity**

If there is time before you begin your term as Department Chair, seek out opportunities to serve on as many department committees as possible. Heading a committee can help you hone your organizational skills. Serving on a committee headed by someone else exposes you to different models of leadership.

Each committee you work with will educate you about another aspect of your department and how it works. Serving on college- or institution-wide committees can also expand your contact with the individuals and offices that can help you do your job better when you're chair. Volunteering for search committees outside your area can be particularly productive: You'll improve the skills needed to implement searches yourself, and you'll have an opportunity to ask candidates about methods used to solve particular problems at the institutions where they're currently working or studying. All committee work, no matter how dreary or routine, will provide you with at least some new insights or perspectives. Don't lose the chance to take full advantage of these opportunities.

NOTE: Anyone can SUBSCRIBE to the Tomorrows-Professor Mailing List by going to: <a href="https://mailman.stanford.edu/mailman/listinfo/tomorrows-professor">https://mailman.stanford.edu/mailman/listinfo/tomorrows-professor</a>
tomorrows-professor@lists.stanford.edu

https://mailman.stanford.edu/mailman/listinfo/tomorrows-professor

#### LESSONS LEARNED AS A DEPARTMENT CHAIR

The Stanford University Center for Teaching and Learning <a href="http://ctl.stanford.edu">http://ctl.stanford.edu</a>. An archive of all past postings (with a two week delay) can be found at <a href="http://cgi.stanford.edu/~dept-ctl/cgi-bin/tomprof/postings.php">http://cgi.stanford.edu/~dept-ctl/cgi-bin/tomprof/postings.php</a>

#### Folks:

The posting below is a valuable "lessons learned" list from a former department chair, Matthew Lombard, of the Department of Broadcasting, Telecommunications and Mass Media at Temple University in Philadelphia, (lombard@temple.edu). The comments should be of interest to both regular faculty and other department chairs. Regards,

Rick Reis

reis@stanford.edu

#### Lessons Learned as a Department Chair

For whatever it may or may not be worth, before I end this last message as chair I want to tell you some of the things I've learned or had reinforced while in the job (apologies to those who suffered as I learned!):

- Administration is critically important to a successful department, school and university and involves a lot more than most of those who aren't administrators think.
- Effective, regular communication at all levels is critically important for successful administration.
- Too many administrators (as too many faculty and people generally of course) aren't effective communicators.
- Policies and procedures, and the interests of the department and institution, are very important, but people - and treating them with fairness, honesty, respect and compassion - are more important.
- High faculty and staff morale, which depends on effective communication and valuing people over policy among other things, is critically important for successful administration and makes life a lot more pleasant.
- Many meetings are of limited value too often they're scheduled because they've always been scheduled, they last too long, they feature announcements that are more suited to e-mail or other formats, the distributed agendas are too general and/or not followed, the agenda tasks could be accomplished in focused discussions among smaller groups of people, etc.
- A seemingly limitless number of formal and informal barriers and challenges often make trying to accomplish administrative goals incredibly frustrating, but finally accomplishing them can be very satisfying.

- Nearly all academic administrators both impose decisions (top down administration) and determine and implement the decisions of their colleagues (bottom up administration); finding the right balance between the two is essential to success; I think the most effective administrators lead not by imposing or following but by whenever possible guiding discussions to build reasonable and practical consensus.
- There's only so much time and energy, so administrators can choose to do more things less
  carefully and thus less well, or fewer things more carefully and thus more effectively; again,
  finding the right balance is essential to success (if I err, I prefer to err on the side of doing fewer
  things better).
- Successful administrators (as others) invest great amounts of time and physical and emotional energy into their job but they always remember it's just a job (we all may feel indispensable at times but our institution will carry on with or without us it's more important to enjoy our life).
- Effective administrators at all levels seek to make incremental and infrequent but regular, scheduled changes rather than shock-to-the-system, constant and unpredictable changes.
- The best administrators always remember that faculty are peers and not subordinates, and treat them accordingly.
- Effective administrators keep organized records for their own and their successors' use, and know that statements and promises are nice but having and keeping them in writing is better (though still not always a guarantee).
- The vast majority of faculty (and administrators for that matter) are hardworking, cooperative, and collegial; unfortunately administrators have to spend too much time thinking about and dealing with the others.
- Administrators (and the rest of us) have to find the right balance between short, terse, bulletpointed communications (reports, e-mail messages, etc.) and long, detailed, elaborate
  communications; I tend to favor the latter too much but I'm working on it (so that's my last
  bullet point here!)."

### MYTHS THAT MAKE CHAIRS FEEL THEY ARE POWERLESS From the November 1999 AAHE Bulletin

<u>Six fallacies that stifle change — and how to overcome them</u> By Ann F. Lucas

After decades of giving unquestioning respect, the public has become demanding, critical, and angry with higher education. Employers are dissatisfied with graduates who lack skills in oral and written communication, critical-thinking ability, and being effective team members. Moreover, after almost two decades of downsizing, and the perception that no one's job is safe even though the organization is doing well, the public is angry at the sense of entitlement of academics who retain tenure, whether or not they are productive. This is the source of much of the external pressure for post-tenure review.

Higher education must respond to external criticism that demands change in the system, and to internal awareness of challenges arising from virtual universities and corporate classrooms. Whether that change is improving student learning, relating methodology to course objectives, curriculum renewal, or broader interventions such as outcomes assessment, service-learning, or integrating technology, chairs often feel helpless in the face of necessary innovation.

Developing faculty who will be responsive to these kinds of changes seems a formidable task to chairs. Despite the colossal need for leadership at the departmental level, and the position that the American Association for Higher Education and the Pew Roundtables have taken that the department is the place where change should begin, chairs often state that there is nothing they can do to initiate change in the department. Not only do they not know how to be change agents, they do not believe that they have the power to bring about change. Fewer than one-third of 4,500 chairs in self-report data I have collected reported any degree of success in motivating difficult colleagues or poor teachers who are tenured. Chairs also report problems in getting faculty to accept a "fair share" of the work of the department, and in dealing with conflict in the department. There is a feeling of powerlessness in the face of such difficulties, primarily because faculty are tenured and thus presumably resistive to change. On campus after campus, chairs have repeated to me a series of myths they believe as justification for being unable to modify the status quo.

A myth, particularly one in which there is a strong belief, is a fixed perception of a situation that in turn dictates what an individual can control and what cannot be changed. The "rules of the game" develop from such an attribution or label; for example, whether chairs will try to deal with difficult colleagues, or ignore the situation because they believe there is nothing they can do that will make a difference. Thus, chairs build high walls around themselves and around circumstances that not only control their behavior but justify how they choose to behave. Whenever individuals describe a situation as having no solution, or see it only as a dichotomy having just two opposed alternatives, it is probable that they are engaging in premature closure. In other words, they have stopped trying to generate options to the problem, and often put themselves in no-win situations.

From my observations, here are the six most frequent fixed beliefs, or myths, that are dysfunctional for the chairs who hold them, accompanied each time by my rebuttal.

# 1. "I am elected by my colleagues to serve at their pleasure for only three or four years, then I will be a faculty member again. Therefore, there is nothing I can do to deal with the problems."

The belief in an inability to do anything as chair because a person is simply a peer among equals conveys an aura of humility and democracy in action; yet it can effectively leave a department without a leader. Particularly when a chair is elected by peers for a limited term, choosing to be a team leader is a valuable choice of leadership style. As team leader, a chair can take an active role in seeking meaningful input and full participation from everyone in the department so that faculty members can plan and organize themselves to function most effectively. Being a team leader requires setting shared goals with the department and individual goals with individual faculty members so that everyone can focus on how they can achieve departmental goals while realizing their own. Goal setting with individuals and providing feedback on performance in a supportive climate are the strongest forces a chair can use for motivating faculty. When chairs are passive because they feel there is nothing they can do, departments, and often faculty, stagnate.

## 2. "It is my turn in the barrel. I don't particularly want to be chair, but we all have to take a turn."

Given academic norms that administration of any sort is a necessary evil, such statements by an incoming Department Chair do not usually raise concern among faculty; on the contrary, faculty often worry that people who want to be chair may be seeking power. However, when someone doesn't want to be chair, neither the department nor its faculty will benefit by having a person in that role simply because it is his or her "turn." What is most likely is that such an individual will behave in a passive-resistive fashion and accomplish nothing for the department. Whenever he or she is chided for not taking some responsibility, the response can always be, "But I didn't ask to be chair."

#### 3. "I am simply a peer among equals. I am not a manager."

This is a good example of generating only two options. "I am either a peer or a manager. There is nothing in between." As chair, an individual is no longer just a peer among equals. Chairs have responsibilities that are different from those of faculty members. Although all chairs have to perform some management functions, they don't have to become managers; they can become leaders.

## 4. "I have neither carrot nor stick. It is not possible either to reward or punish faculty members."

It is simplistic to think that rewards include only economic benefits, and that punishment means only the firing of a faculty member. There are many more meaningful ways to reward people; and punishment has so many negative side effects, it is rarely an alternative of choice in motivating others. When chairs are respected colleagues, they have the ability to reinforce faculty for the latter's work. Being taken seriously by a colleague who appreciates the quality of what an individual is doing is both rewarding and motivating. Moreover, chairs usually have major input into personnel decision making, scheduling of courses, release time, and allocation of resources. Therefore, despite the fact that it is not realistic, the perception that chairs have "neither carrot nor stick" certainly contributes to their feelings of powerlessness.

### 5. "I am neither fish nor fowl. Being neither faculty member nor administrator, my role is not clear."

Granted that role conflict is stressful, a chair must be the conduit between faculty and administration, representing the needs of each to the other. This requires that a chair be an articulate spokesperson for department members to administration. It is also necessary for a chair to be a public relations person for faculty members so that their accomplishments, their impact on the discipline at the state or national level, and their outreach to the community can be appreciated by the rest of the university.

In addition, however, because they represent administration to faculty, chairs must at times advance points of view that represent what is deemed to be good for the college or university over what is perceived as good for individual faculty members. For example, faculty often strongly resist a chair's request that they teach an 8 a.m. class, a late evening course, or a course that meets three times a week. In each of these cases, faculty may feel that the chair has lost the ability to identify with colleagues and is behaving like an administrator. Chairs must handle such conflict in their roles with tact, fairness, and good humor.

#### 6. "I have no power. Therefore, I can do nothing."

Many chairs feel they have no power, though this perception is not usually accurate. In the context of the work of the chair, power is the ability to influence faculty to achieve their own goals as they accomplish the work of the department. Chairs have enough power to motivate faculty to increase student learning by teaching effectively, to increase scholarly productivity, and to increase service or outreach activities. All they need is to know how to go about it.

The kinds of power that chairs have to motivate faculty include position power, personal power, and expert power. Position power, often referred to as legitimate power, is related to the authority individuals have simply because of their positions. The extent to which chairs control rewards and punishments varies markedly from one institution to another, but when their input on administrative matters is weighted heavily by a college or university, their position power is increased. Thus, chairs have strong position power when their judgment is given serious consideration in personnel decision making.

Position power is by and large a given. Personal power, however, varies considerably and can be increased in legitimate ways. If chairs treat everyone with respect, if they are perceived as working for and fighting for the well-being of their faculty members when the cause is just, if chairs create a supportive climate in the department, and if they give people recognition and visibility for their achievements, their personal power becomes greater.

A third kind of power is expert power, which is based on knowledge and control of resources. Chairs usually know better than faculty how to get things accomplished in a college or university, particularly how to do things that are not described in faculty handbooks and other formal documents.

#### **Overcoming Mythology**

Chairs do have considerable power, then, but when they believe these six myths their effectiveness is undoubtedly reduced. However, institutions also have great responsibility for enhancing competent leadership by taking the chair role more seriously. Although the 80,000 chairs in colleges and

universities constitute a knowledgeable body of leadership and influence, too often they are overlooked as the valuable resource they can be. There is little evidence that sufficient care is given to selection, training, professional development, and support of chairs.

Furthermore, if chairs are to be good team leaders and effective agents of change, they need to learn how to initiate those difficult conversations in which the collective wisdom of their colleagues is gathered so that commitment is developed to confront challenges that face their departments. Chairs need to learn the skills for leading change. Chairs must learn how to confront and manage negative behaviors of faculty and staff. They need to learn more about motivating department members. Chairs must master skills in creating a supportive communication climate, managing constructive feedback, resolving conflict, and be engaged in their own ongoing leadership development. In addition, some of the mind-deadening paperwork — the primary complaint of chairs — must be handled by computer or delegated to a technical assistant or a competent secretary so that chairs have time to be leaders.

(Ann F. Lucas is a professor of organization development at Fairleigh Dickinson University. She can be reached at <a href="mailto:annlucas@aol.com">annlucas@aol.com</a>.) Lucas is the author of Strengthening Departmental Leadership: A Team Building Guide for Chairs in Colleges and Universities (Jossey-Bass, 1994). Her most recent book, Leading Academic Change: Essential Roles for Chairs, will be published by Jossey-Bass in January 2000.

#### PART ONE

#### **Personnel**

#### I. Faculty Recruitment: Skidmore College: Faculty Search Process

This document outlines the major components in the academic search process for tenure-track and faculty. These inclusive search practices will vary depending on the department, program, discipline, and nature of the position.

#### A. How to Submit a Proposal for a Tenure-Track Search

Tenure-track faculty appointments are the most important resource the College possesses. Given that they represent long-term commitments (30 years or more), placing new tenure lines judiciously is of the utmost importance. Likewise, if we automatically replace every person who leaves the College (because of retirement or other reasons) with someone who possesses similar expertise, we severely limit our ability to develop new areas, react to developments in disciplines or enrollments, support interdisciplinary programs, deliver all-College requirements, etc. It means that the College has little flexibility in faculty staffing except to add new, tenure-track faculty lines, which is limited by resources.

In order to ensure that all new or replacement faculty lines receive equal consideration, departments seeking to obtain a new line or to retain an existing line will submit a proposal to the DOF/VPAA. The proposal should provide a full and well articulated rationale and will be due in October with other operating budget requests. Allocation of lines will occur by the following spring semester in order for recruitment to begin in the early summer.

### **Guidelines for Tenure-Track Line Search Proposals**

The following framework may prove useful in drafting a proposal for consideration for a tenure-track line.

We encourage departments to develop proposals that address the relevant objectives in the Strategic Plan, and how the tenure-track line will address broader cross-disciplinary or emerging areas in our liberal arts curriculum. In thinking strategically about ways to recruit new faculty, it is important to recognize that graduate programs are producing scholars who are increasingly cross-disciplinary and able to address a broad range of curricular areas and/or support disciplinary interests across departments and programs. The DOF/VPAA Office is particularly interested in departmental proposals for tenure track lines that articulate structural ways to bridge appointments across disciplinary areas. Please note that Part One, Sect. VI, B and C of the Faculty Handbook provides guidelines for this type of appointment. In addition, the DOF/VPAA Office recognizes that departments may propose tenure-track requests that will focus on specific disciplinary, programmatic, and curricular needs. In all cases, proposals should address the supporting rationale for a particular approach. Though they are not the driving force, or even the most important criteria, enrollment projections and historical trends with

respect to majors should be helpful in developing the justification for any tenure track line request, as well as the ways in which the new line will contribute to interdisciplinary programs.

All new tenure-track appointments are expected to contribute to the First-Year Experience program by teaching a Scribner Seminar on a cyclical basis based on departmental contributions to the program. Since the Scribner Seminars are mostly taught by tenure-track and tenured faculty, these contributions are part of the regular portfolio of courses that all tenure-track and tenured faculty are expected to fulfill and therefore do not constitute exceptional contributions. Furthermore, all tenure-track searches are expected to generate an inclusive pool of candidates such that the College can continue to enhance faculty diversity. Because this effort is an objective of Goal II of the Strategic Plan, all search plans should address how departments anticipate creating a rich and diverse pool of candidates.

Given these parameters, departments submitting proposals for tenure-track lines should clearly indicate which of the following two broad categories are applicable:

- 1) Positions that will contribute primarily to the programmatic and curricular needs of an individual department or program. These positions will be expected to contribute to the Scribner Seminar program and/or other all-College requirements.
- 2) Positions that will contribute substantively to the programmatic and curricular goals of more than one department or program. These positions will also be expected to contribute to the Scribner Seminar program and/or other all-College requirements. Proposals in this category should provide supporting documentation from the partnering department or program so that the nature of the cross-disciplinary interaction is evident.

As noted earlier, all proposals for tenure-track lines should include search plans, search committee membership, and timelines. The DOF/VPAA will issue a call for proposals in late September. Following consultation with Academic Affairs, and in particular, the Chairs Advisory Group, departments will be notified in the spring semester as to whether they will receive permission to search for a tenure-track position.

#### The proposal should address:

- How the position will contribute to the program's goals and curricular needs of the department/program
- How the position will contribute to strategic planning initiatives, interdisciplinary programs, other departments, all-College requirements, etc.
- Records/projections of student enrollments, especially enrollments below 10 at all levels
- History of the number of majors

Exceptions to this policy are failed searches, in which case the approval to search for a new line will be streamlined. However, in non-renewal of third-year reappointment and tenure cases, justifications regarding the line's configuration need to be made and approved, according to the described criteria, by the DOF/VPAA.

# 1. Developing an Inclusive Search Plan and a Search Committee Process for Tenure-Track Appointments

- a. The size and composition of the Search Committee will depend on whether the line is departmentally based or across departments and/or programs.
- b. In the case of departmentally based tenure-track lines, the Chair of the search committee may be the Department Chair or a senior member of the department.
  - The Search Committee representation from within departments should include:
    - o representation of diverse perspectives
    - o representation from across the ranks
  - All Search Committees should include at least one member from another department or program, preferably with shared research or teaching interests.
  - All Search Committees will include a diversity advocate who will participate in all stages of the search.
  - Representation from other departments or programs which will broaden the search process also may occur at various stages of the search (review of applications, search committee meetings, off campus interviews, on campus interviews, etc.).
- c. In the case of cross-department and/or program tenure-track lines, the Search Committee should include representation from both the departments or department and interdisciplinary program involved. The Chair of the Search Committee will be agreed upon by the partnering units.
  - The Search Committee representation from the departments or programs should include:
    - o a diversity advocate
    - o representation of diverse perspectives
    - o representation from across the ranks
- d. The charge to the Search Committee should be established by the Department Chair and/or Program Director in consultation with the departmental faculty and the DOF/VPAA. The charge should include the following:
  - A position description
  - A search plan and the scope of the search including the process to ensure a diverse pool of candidates
  - The timeline for the search process
  - A statement of committee values and decision-making processes
  - If necessary, a statement of confidentiality practices for each stage of the search
- e. What to include in the Search Plan:
  - The justification for the position (may be a summary of original position request)
  - Position description and candidates' desired qualifications
  - Search Committee membership and roles

- An advertisement draft
- Proposed advertisement placements: target location, deadlines, length of placement
- Conference attendance plans
- Anticipated start-up or scholarly support costs
- The timeline should include due dates for applications, for review of CVs and other materials (scholarship, teaching evaluations, etc.), conference dates for offand on-campus interviews, reference checking, request for additional funding with rationale and completion date of the search
- f. Submit the Search Plan to the DOF/VPAA.
- g. Consult with the DOF/VPAA about funding of travel costs to conferences (or other expenses) associated with participants from both inside or outside the department or program.

#### 2. Developing a Position Description, Profile and Advertisement

- a. A position description should include: roles, responsibilities, functions, expectations, and minimum qualifications of candidates.
- b. Position Profile Qualifications: qualities of an ideal candidate, degree requirements, teaching experience, area of specialization, research interests and record, and demonstrated experience that align with department and institutional priorities and goals.
- c. The advertisement should be constructed in such a way as to attract a diverse pool of applicants, and submitted for review and approval to the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity prior to submission to Human Resources.
- d. The Chair submits the ad and the potential placement to the Assistant Director for EEO and Workforce Diversity for review and the EEO statement.

#### 3. Recruiting an Inclusive Candidate Pool

- a. In order to attract a diverse pool of candidates, the chair is encouraged to target key graduate programs, professional publications, web sites, list serves and print media. S/he should consider email, direct calls, and contact with professional colleagues or senior administrators at other institutions who may have the potential to assist with the identification of diverse candidates.
- b. The DOF/VPAA, in consultation with the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity or designee, will review and approve the pool of candidates before phone or conference interviews are arranged. Should they determine that the pool is not sufficiently inclusive, they may ask for additional information.
- c. The DOF/VPAA, in consultation with the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity or designee, will review and approve the final pool of candidates before

campus interview invitations are extended. Should they determine that the pool is not sufficiently inclusive, they may ask for additional information and request that additional steps be taken. Please provide CVs of top candidates selected for on-campus interviews along with a summary of the finalists' pool including demographic data *before* scheduling campus visits.

#### 4. Campus visits

- a. Campus visits may include any and all of the following: departmental seminars, teaching and/or research talks; meetings with students; meetings with other departments or program faculty, as appropriate; with the ambassadors; a campus tour; and a community tour.
- b. Ambassadors Program: Meetings with particular constituencies or individuals that offer candidates the opportunity to ask questions and explore cultural and social considerations outside the scope of the search are required. Shannon Phillips, in the DOF office, will identify Ambassadors for each candidate.
- c. All finalists will meet with the DOF/VPAA and the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity or designee during their on-campus interview.
- d. Before constructing the itinerary:
  - Contact the DOF/VPAA Office to schedule appointments for interviews with the DOF/VPAA (x 5705) and ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity, and appointments with two Ambassadors.
  - PLEASE SECURE THE APPOINTMENTS BEFORE DETERMINING THE CANDIDATES' ITINERARIES AS APPOINTMENT TIMES ARE LIMITED.
  - NOTE: please be sure to follow recruitment expense guidelines. (See e below)
  - Forward two paper copies of the complete dossier to the DOF/VPAA Office including: cover letter, CV, supporting letters, and the itinerary in a manila file folder with the candidate's name and interview date/time on the label.
- e. It is essential that the candidates feel welcomed and comfortable while on campus.
- f. Please review the travel and entertainment guidelines with respect to the recruiting process: <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/financial\_services/upload/SKIDMORE-COLLEGE-TRAVEL-POLICY-11-10-3.pdf">http://cms.skidmore.edu/financial\_services/upload/SKIDMORE-COLLEGE-TRAVEL-POLICY-11-10-3.pdf</a>

#### 5. Interview and Selection

Review legal and illegal questions cited in the resources below

#### 6. Checking References

- a. The Search Committee should develop guidelines for phone references or review of reference letters:
  - Identify who makes the calls
  - Determine focus areas for questions
  - Develop questions to learn about past performance
  - Ask the candidate for additional references beyond the list they provide
- b. Reference checks are completed before an offer is made.
- c. Background checks will also be performed.

#### 7. Skidmore Protocol/Guidelines for Making an Offer

- a. Although the DOF/VPAA provides the salary range, the Department Chair conducts the negotiations and makes a final offer. Information regarding financial support for relocation is provided by the DOF/VPAA Office. The timeline for confirmation of the offer by the candidate is determined by the Department Chair but is generally 10 working days. The contract letter is prepared and sent by the DOF/VPAA (or the Associate Dean in case of non-tenure track lines) in consultation with the Department Chair.
- b. Start-up and scholarly support should be negotiated with the DOF/VPAA and needs to be included in the department's Capital Budget request in the year of the search.

#### 8. Resources

- a. Vicker, L. A. and H. J. Royer. (2006), The Complete Academic Search Manual: A Systematic Approach to Successful and Inclusive Hiring. Sterling, VA.: Stylus Press.
- b. Skidmore Assessment Interviewing Training Manual; HR Assessment Interview Training Program: <a href="https://www2.skidmore.edu/hr/training/class-list.cfm">https://www2.skidmore.edu/hr/training/class-list.cfm</a>
- **c.** Local resources of interest to candidates (e.g., job market for partners, housing information, schools): http://www.skidmore.edu/hr/eeo\_diversity/staff.php

#### 9. Guidelines for Use of Research Funds for New Tenure-Track Faculty

Start-up research funding for new tenure-track faculty is allocated through the Capital Budget process to support the development of research and scholarly activities. Generally, this funding is used to support scholarly and research activities so that junior faculty on tenure-track appointments are able to transition successfully from their graduate or postdoctoral homes to Skidmore.

This research support is to be used primarily to fund:

- equipment
- supplies
- laboratory set-up
- student research assistants
- participant studies
- occasional travel associated with disciplinary research

Faculty allocated start-up funding should develop, in consultation with their Chair, a research plan for expending this support during the first three years of their appointment. It is important to note that these funds are part of the Capital Budget, and thus they should be expended within the first three years of the initial appointment. In rare and extraordinary instances where institutional circumstances (lab renovation, etc.) may preclude a faculty member from expending her/his start-up funds in a timely manner, a written request with justification to extend the funds to the fourth year may be made to the DOF/VPAA through the Department Chair.

The processing of the start-up funds occurs under the supervision of the Department Chair. In instances when the faculty member is not directly making the purchases, the Department's administrative support person will work with the faculty member in securing the supplies and equipment. This ensures a rapid turn-around on orders by having expenses directly charged to the Department's budget. The support person has the capability of making the necessary changes on the PASS account to ensure that the proper account number is charged. A monthly spreadsheet should be submitted to Sue Blair in the DOF/VPAA Office so that the most current information can be shared with the Director of Financial Planning and Budgeting.

#### B. How to Request Hiring Faculty in Contingent Appointments

Non-tenure-track faculty or faculty in contingent appointments, are an important teaching resource at the College, and a number of departments rely on them to adequately deliver their academic program. Regardless, every department, at one time or another, hires non-tenure-track faculty to replace faculty on leave, on phased retirement, personal leaves of absence, or due to enrollment pressures. Historically, non tenure-track faculty hiring responds to departmental or programmatic need. Thus, we presently employ faculty in the following categories:

- Terminal, non-renewable, three-year contracts
- Multiple, terminal, full-time, two-year contracts
- One-year, full-time, renewable contracts
- Part-time, renewable, contracts
- Non-renewable, part-time, contracts

Most faculty on full-time, one-year, non-renewable contracts replace tenured or tenure-track faculty on one-year leaves. It is often the case that departments or programs decide to hire in a contingent position in an area not otherwise represented by the specialization of their permanent

faculty. Thus, faculty in contingent appointments open opportunities to broaden and enrich departmental and/or program course offerings.

#### 1. Request for Faculty in Contingent Appointments:

The Chair or Program Director should request approval from the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity as soon as the need arises. Such need may result from enrollment pressures, prospective leaves, or an unforeseen vacancy of an existing line.

The Chair will need to make a case for each instance including:

- How the position will meet the needs of the program
- How the position will contribute to the College priorities (e.g., interdisciplinary programs, goals of the Strategic Plan, delivery of Scribner Seminars by tenured and tenure-track faculty)
- Enrollment data

Request(s) for full- and part-time appointments should be discussed with the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity before submitting an ATE. In the case of multiple renewals of part-time faculty, such consultation may be requested by the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity upon submission of the ATE.

#### **2.** Search Guidelines for Faculty in Contingent Appointments:

- a. Depending on the length of appointment, the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity may request that the Department Chair submit a position description and a search plan (e.g., position description, advertisement copy, timeline for the search, and search process) before approving the ATE.
- b. The Chair and delegated department members will interview all one-year hires. The Chair should consult with the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity before making an offer. It is not necessary for the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity to interview candidates for part-time or one-year positions.
- c. In the case of multiple-year appointments, generally no more than two (2) finalists may be invited to on-campus interviews:
  - Contact the DOF/VPAA Office to schedule appointments for interviews with the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity (x 5705).
  - PLEASE SECURE THE APPOINTMENTS BEFORE DETERMINING THE CANDIDATE'S ITINERARY APPOINTMENT TIMES ARE LIMITED.
  - Forward paper copies of the complete dossiers to the ADOF Office including: cover letter, CVs, supporting letters, and the itinerary in a manila file folder with the candidates' names and interview dates/times on the label.
- d. The Chair, <u>after</u> consulting with the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity, will make an offer and negotiate salary with the finalist.

#### C. Diversity in Hiring: Strategic Considerations<sup>1</sup>

Engaged Liberal Learning: The Plan for Skidmore College 2005-2015 has set forth the College's strategic direction and goals. Goal II of *The Plan* states that we "will challenge every Skidmore student to develop the intercultural understanding and global awareness necessary to thrive in the complex and increasingly interconnected world of the 21st Century." If we are to achieve this objective, we must, in the words of Gandhi, "be the change we wish to see in the world." That is, we must first develop within and across our community the knowledge and skills that we seek to impart to our students. As one necessary means to achieving this end, we must recreate Skidmore itself as a more diverse, globally conscious academic community – one that is ever more capable of supporting this crucial educational goal. Indeed, the College we envision is one that truly lives up to the commitment in our "Mission Statement" to educate "a diverse population of talented students who are eager to engage actively in the learning process."

Liberal education itself requires the interplay of the broadest possible spectrum of ideas, viewpoints, and perspectives. We enhance the intellectual and cultural vitality of our community when persons of many different backgrounds and viewpoints draw upon distinct personal histories and engage in honest dialog. Diversity, likewise, links directly with creativity: interactions between disparate perspectives frequently strike the intellectual sparks that herald the emergence of a new idea. Attention to difference in background, cultural perspective, life experience, and worldview is, thus, an essential element within the larger framework of Skidmore's most fundamental and longstanding institutional commitments.

In light of these values, each new search and admissions cycle marks a moment of opportunity and possible transformation for the campus community. So as we look to each pool of potential students, faculty members, or other employees, we must reaffirm our commitment to increasing representation from specific targeted populations, especially persons of color, those who bring international perspectives, and other members of under-represented groups. We have begun this work and to-date have achieved a measure of success, but we are not yet where we need to be. Accordingly, we must raise our expectations to increase not just our efforts but our achievements, aggressively employing both our creativity and the best practices we can identify, whether from inside or outside our boundaries.

As a matter of policy, Skidmore College will work actively to increase the diversity of our community. We will address imbalances in both student and employee populations and meet our diversity-related objectives by recruiting the best candidates from as broad a pool as possible. And, as always, we will continue to be guided by our fundamental educational values leading our students to develop robust cognitive abilities, enhanced critical and intercultural skills, and an appreciation of their individual and social responsibilities as citizens of the United States and the world. Meeting these objectives is crucial to our achieving new levels of excellence as one of the nation's premier liberal arts colleges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Endorsed by the Institutional Policy and Planning Committee on February 16, 2007

#### D. Legal and Illegal Pre-Employment Inquiries

GUIDE TO LEGAL AND ILLEGAL PRE-EMPLOYMENT INQUIRIES

#### Introduction

The job interview is an essential component of the hiring process. While the job interview provides the College with an opportunity to assess whether an applicant will be a good fit, asking the wrong question could result in legal liability. Conducting a proper interview is thus imperative to finding the right candidate while avoiding legal liability. This guide is intended to help interviewers avoid discriminatory inquiries during job interviews.

#### Preparing to interview:

Any interviewer represents the College, and job candidates will perceive any interview encounter as "acceptable college practice". Therefore, as you prepare your interview questions, ask yourself:

- Is the question legal?
- If it is legal, is it appropriate?
- When in doubt, don't ask. Focus on the job-related information.

**Please note:** Every interaction with the candidate constitutes part of the interview: phone conversations, transport to and from a hotel, meals, walking across campus, etc. Everyone who will have contact with candidates should therefore be made aware of areas of inquiry that are not appropriate or illegal questions that should not be asked.

If a person volunteers information that is not job related, direct the conversation back to job-related topics. Information volunteered by an applicant that is not job-related – especially information about a job applicant's protected status (see below) – should not affect your decision about the applicant's ability to do the job. Refer difficult questions and issues to Human Resources.

#### **Pre-employment Inquiries:**

Throughout the interviewing process, it is important for the person(s) conducting the interview to be aware of the anti-discrimination laws with regard to pre-employment inquiries. These laws apply not only to recruitment and hiring, but also to transfers and promotion of employees.

In general, one should avoid any questions that, either directly or indirectly, are likely to elicit information about an applicant's membership in a protected class, including the applicant's race, religion, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, marital status, military status, sexual orientation, genetic predisposition, domestic violence victim status or any other status protected by applicable law. Make sure to ask only questions that are bona fide occupational qualifications—BFOQs—questions directly related to a candidate's ability to do the job.

The table of "Lawful and Unlawful Pre-Employment Inquiries" consists of questions compiled by the New York State Division of Human Rights and from *The Complete Academic Search Manual* (Vicker and Royer, 2006).

Note: This list is applicable to any job candidate. Subjects marked by an asterisk (\*\*) refer to inquiries that are particularly relevant for searches for faculty and administrative professional positions.

#### After hiring, Human Resources can legally obtain the following:

- A birth certificate copy
- Marital status (married or single only)
- Proof of eligibility to work in the United States (as regulated by Federal Law)
- Photographs
- Physical examination and drug testing, if appropriate, or required by position, can be initiated once an offer is made with continuation of employment contingent upon a satisfactory result
- Social Security card
- Background check, if appropriate, or required by position, can be initiated once an offer is made with continuation of employment contingent upon a satisfactory result

Lawful and Unlawful Pre-Employment Inquiries

Subject	Unlawful Pre-Employment Inquiries  Lawful Inquiries	Unlawful Inquires
Name	<ul> <li>Whether the applicant has worked under another name.</li> <li>Have you ever worked for this college under a different name? Is any additional information relative to change of name or use of an assumed name or nickname necessary to enable a check on your work record? If yes, explain.</li> <li>What name(s) are your work records listed under?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inquiries about the name that would seek to elicit information about the candidate's ancestry or descent (e.g., what nationality is your last name?).</li> <li>Inquiries about name change due to a court order, marriage, or otherwise.</li> <li>Maiden name of married women.</li> </ul>
Birthplace	See citizenship below.	Birthplace of applicant, spouse, parents, or other relatives.
Citizenship	<ul> <li>Statement that employees must be eligible to work in the United States.</li> <li>Do you have a legal right to work in the United States?</li> <li>Whether the applicant is prevented from lawfully becoming employed in the US because of a visa or immigration status.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Any inquiries about citizenship or whether the applicant is or intends to become a U.S. citizen.</li> <li>Birthplace of applicant. Birthplace of applicant's parents, spouse or other close relatives.</li> <li>Of what country are you a citizen? Whether an applicant is naturalized or a native-born citizen, the date when the applicant acquired citizenship. Requirement that applicant produce naturalization papers or first papers. Whether applicant's parents or spouse are naturalized or native-born citizens of the U.S., the date when such parent or spouse acquired citizenship.</li> </ul>
Residence, Nationality	Place of residence.	Specific inquiries into foreign

	<ul> <li>Length of residence in this city.</li> <li>About foreign language skills (reading, speaking, and/or writing) if relevant to the job.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>addresses that would indicate national origin or nationality of applicant.</li> <li>Whether applicant owns or rents home.</li> <li>Inquiry into applicant's lineage, ancestry, national origin, descent, parentage, or nationality.</li> <li>Nationality of applicant's spouse or parents.</li> <li>What is your native tongue?</li> </ul>
Age**	<ul> <li>Can inquire if applicant meets minimum age requirements, or state that proof may be required upon hiring.</li> <li>Are you 18 years of age or older? If not, state your age.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cannot require that applicant state age/date of birth unless under 18.</li> <li>Cannot require that applicant submit proof of age in the form of a birth certificate, naturalization papers, or baptismal record.</li> <li>Any question that may tend to identify applicants over 40 years of age (e.g., what year did you graduate high school/college?).</li> <li>How old are you? What is your date of birth? What are the ages of your children, if any?</li> </ul>
Gender**	Inquiry or restriction of employment is permissible only when a Bona Fide Occupational Qualification (BFOQ) exists.	<ul> <li>Applicant's gender cannot be used as a factor for determining whether an applicant will be "satisfied" in a particular job (e.g., because the job involves physical labor, travel away from home, or is traditionally labeled "men's work" or "women's work").</li> <li>Any inquiry that would indicate gender of applicant.</li> <li>Any inquiry into an applicant's caregiving responsibilities (e.g., what childcare arrangements would you make if offered this position?).</li> </ul>
Subject	Lawful Inquiries	Unlawful Inquires
Marital and Family Status, Sexual Identity**	<ul> <li>Whether applicant can keep specific work schedules.</li> <li>This is the typical schedule for this position. Is there any reason you would not be able to work this schedule?</li> <li>Note: These inquiries are permissible provided they are made for both male and female applicants.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Marital status or number of dependents. Name, age, job, address, or other information about spouse, children, or relatives.</li> <li>Questions about sexual identity, orientation, or preference. What is your sexual orientation?</li> <li>Do you wish to be addressed as Mrs.? Miss? Or Ms.?</li> <li>Are you married? Are you single? Divorced? Separated? Widowed?</li> </ul>

Race, Color, Physical Features**	Voluntary submission of Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) information made directly via Human Resources' application process	<ul> <li>Do you have a boyfriend/girlfriend?</li> <li>What is your maiden name?</li> <li>Child care arrangements.</li> <li>Plans to have children.</li> <li>Inquiry as to applicant's race, color of skin, eyes, or hair or other questions directly or indirectly indicating race or color.</li> <li>Applicant's height or weight when it is not relevant to the job.</li> <li>What race are you?</li> <li>Are you a member of a minority group?</li> <li>What is your national origin?</li> </ul>
Disability	<ul> <li>Can ask an applicant questions about his or her ability to perform job-related functions.</li> <li>Please describe/demonstrate how you would perform the essential functions of this position. (Note: if used, this question should be asked of all candidates).</li> <li>Only if an employee voluntarily discloses a need for reasonable accommodation, can ask what reasonable accommodation is needed to perform job-related functions, but not about the underlying medical condition.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>General inquires ("Are you disabled?") that would tend to reveal disability or health conditions that do not relate to fitness to perform the job.</li> <li>Do you have a disability? Have you ever been treated for any of the following diseases?</li> <li>Do you need a reasonable accommodation?</li> <li>What is your medical history? How does your condition affect your abilities?</li> <li>Have you ever filed a workers' compensation claim?</li> </ul>
Education	<ul> <li>Applicant's academic, vocational attainment.</li> <li>Inquiry into applicant's academic, vocational or professional education and the public and private schools attended.</li> <li>What is your educational background?</li> <li>Do you have licenses and certifications for this job?</li> </ul>	Date last attended high school or college (reflects age).
Pregnancy**	No acceptable inquiry.	<ul> <li>Any question concerning pregnancy, birth control, or capacity to reproduce.</li> <li>Advocacy of any form of birth control or family planning.</li> </ul>
Arrests and Convictions	<ul> <li>Asking about conviction of a crime related to job qualification.</li> <li>Have you ever been convicted of a</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Asking about arrests.</li><li>Have you ever been arrested?</li><li>Have you ever spent a night in jail?</li></ul>

	crime, other than minor traffic violations? If yes, please describe (No applicant will be denied a position because of a conviction for an offense unless there is a direct relationship between the			
	offense and the position, or unless hiring would be an unreasonable risk).			
Religion or Creed	applicant's religious denomination, religious affiliations, church, parish, pastor or religious holidays observed. Applicant may not be told "This is a (Catholic, Protestant, or Jewish) organization."  • What religion are you? Which religious holidays will you be taking off from work? What church do you attend? Do you attend church regularly?			
Military Experience	If needed for employment history, you may ask about applicant's military experience in the U.S. Armed Forces.	<ul> <li>Any question into applicant's general military experience.</li> <li>Any question into type of discharge.</li> </ul>		
Organizations	<ul> <li>Inquiry into applicant's membership in organizations that the applicant considers relevant to his/her ability to perform the job.</li> <li>Asking what organizations, club and societies the applicant belon to that are <b>not</b> relevant to his/her ability to perform the job (politic social, religious, etc.)</li> <li>List all clubs, societies and lodge which you belong.</li> </ul>			
Photograph	May not be requested prior to hire.	Requirement or option that applicant affix a photograph to employment form at any time before hiring.		
Language**	<ul> <li>Inquiry into languages applicant speaks and writes fluently if needed for the position.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>What is your native language?</li> <li>Inquiry into how applicant acquired ability to read, write or speak a foreign language.</li> </ul>		
Experience	<ul> <li>Inquiry into work experience.</li> <li>What experience qualifies you for this job?</li> <li>Inquiries that explore a candidate's diversity experience.</li> <li>How have you supported a prior employer's commitment to diversity?</li> <li>Tell me about your participation</li> </ul>	How has your race/gender/national origin affected your work experience?		

		1
	<ul> <li>in diversity events/and or organizations at other employers.</li> <li>How have you integrated multicultural issues as part of your professional development?</li> </ul>	
Relatives	Name of applicant's relatives already employed by the college.	<ul> <li>Names, addresses, ages, number or other information concerning applicant's spouse, children or other relatives not employed by the college.</li> </ul>
Driver's License (if applicable)	Do you possess a valid NYS driver's license? (if necessary to perform duties of the position)	Requirement that an applicant produce a driver's license.
Travel	This position requires travel. Are you willing to travel?	• Since you have children will you have trouble getting the time to travel?
Overtime	<ul> <li>This position may require overtime. Are you available for overtime?</li> </ul>	Since you have children, does that mean you won't be able to work overtime?
Garnishment Records	No acceptable inquiry.	Have your wages ever been garnished?
Mode of Transportation	<ul> <li>Can you arrive to work by the required start time?</li> </ul>	Do you own a car? Mode of transportation.
Family History**	No acceptable inquiry.	<ul><li>Where were you born?</li><li>Where are your parents from?</li><li>What is your heritage?</li><li>What language do you speak at home?</li></ul>

<sup>\*\*</sup>Note: This list is applicable to any job candidate. Subjects marked by an asterisk refer to inquiries that are particularly relevant for searches for faculty and administrative professional positions.

Last updated: 9/20/2006

The Equal Employment Opportunity, Diversity and Anti-Harassment: Policies and Procedures are available on: <a href="http://www.skidmore.edu/hr/eeo\_diversity/index.php">http://www.skidmore.edu/hr/eeo\_diversity/index.php</a>

## E. Adjunct Faculty Pay Scales and FTE Chart

(Effective August 31, 2014)

GENERAL ADJUNCT FACULTY PAY SCALE					
Years at Skidmore 1-3 Courses per Academic Yr 4 Courses/Academi					
1-3 Years	\$1,200 per credit hour	\$1,400 per credit hour			
4+ years	\$1,350 per credit hour	\$1,500 per credit hour			
OVERLOADS: \$1,15	0 per credit/contact hour – no scale				
	SCIENCE ADJUNCT FACULTY PA	Y SCALE			
Years at Skidmore	1-3 Courses per Academic Yr	4 Courses/Academic Yr			
1-3 Years	\$1,200 per contact* hour	to be determined by Dean of the			
		Faculty or Associate Dean of the			
		Faculty			
4+ years	\$1,350 per contact* hour	to be determined by Dean of the			
		Faculty or Associate Dean of the			
		Faculty			

\*By decision of the Science Planning Group in the fall of 2003, science faculty will be paid per "contact hour." A "contact hour" is **NOT** determined by credit hour but rather by actual hours of lecture plus actual hours of lab. For example, a 4 cr. hr. course could typically be 3 lecture hours + 3 lab hours = \$6,600 compensation (for a new adjunct). Breakdown of lecture/lab commitment per course can be found in the College Catalog.

	ADJUNCT FACULTY FTE CHART							
Credit Hours	Percentage	FTE	Retireme	ent	Credit Hours	Percentage	FTE	Retirement
1	5%	.05	N		11	55%	.55	Y
2	10%	.10	N		12	60%	.60	Y
3	15%	.15	N		13	65%	.65	Y
4	20%	.20	N		14	70%	.70	Y
5	25%	.25	N		15	75%	.75	Y
6	30%	.30	N		16	80%	.80	Y
7	35%	.35	N		17	85%	.85	Y
8	40%	.40	N		18	90%	.90	Y
9	45%	.45	Y		19	95%	.95	Y
10	50%	.50	Y		20	100%	.100	Y
Full-time Faculty		1.00	)	Yes				
Part-time Coaches		0.17	,	No				
Part-time Interns		0.25	i	No				
9 cr. hrs. (min. of three 3 cr. hr. courses) = 1,000 hours for admin/prof or support staff for retirement eligibility								

PROMOTIONAL PAY INCREASE INCREMENTS				
PROMOTION TO	PAY INCREASE			
Sr. Teaching Associate	\$2,000			
Sr. AIR/WIR	\$3,000			
Associate Professor	\$3,000			
Distinguished Artist-in-Residence	\$4,000			
Full Professor	\$4,500			

## F. Authorization to Employ (ATE) Process for Faculty Appointments

This process should be followed for ALL hires. (Contact the DOF/VPAA Office with any questions at x5705)

#### 1. Authorization to Employ (ATE) and Advertisement

All requests for tenure track, multi-year and one-year appointments MUST include a job description and position justification approved by the DOF/VPAA (tenure-track) or the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity (non tenure-track) BEFORE the ATE process begins.

- a. An ATE must be completed for every new hire and every contract renewal.
- Log onto the PeopleAdmin system via jobs.skidmore.edu/hr OR from the Human Resources webpage <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/index.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/index.cfm</a> -click on the Authorization to Employ (ATE) online link.
- Please be sure to complete and to provide all necessary information

#### i. Position Information:

- A full-time teaching load for tenure-track faculty is a minimum of 18 credit hours for the academic year.
- A full-time teaching load for non-tenure track appointments is a minimum of 20 credit hours for the academic year.
- All full-time, visiting appointments with terminal degrees are designated as "visiting" regardless of rank.
- The title of "Lecturer" applies only to faculty who have not yet completed their terminal degree.
- Appointments to contingent positions with less than a full-time load will be at the Lecturer level regardless of the terminal degree.

#### ii. Position Status:

- Generally, all faculty appointments are for nine months.
- Salaries for faculty who were full-time the previous year and will retain full-time status in their renewal contract, will have their pay spread over the summer months in order to enable them to retain their benefits and receive salary over that period.
- Salary payments during the summer are considered an advance of salary against the following academic year and will be subject to repayment if employment is terminated during the summer months.

• The second and any subsequent years' salaries will be distributed over 26 pay periods (to include the summer months); thus, payroll checks will appear to be smaller.

### iii. Part-time/Temporary Appointments:

• The specific courses must be indicated on the ATE. Credit and/or contact hours must be included.

#### b. Request for Waiver of a Search

A waiver of a search request must be approved by the Dean of the Faculty's Office and the Assistant Director for EEO and Workforce Diversity. Generally, the waiver may be used only for renewal of contracts.

#### c. Advertising the Position

- Create the advertisement and attach it to the ATE for review.
- Include a diversity statement to attract a diverse pool.
- Indicate the list of publications that will carry the advertisement and indicate deadlines. Check with the Assistant Director for EEO and Workforce Diversity to ascertain that the advertisement appears in the appropriate venues to attract a diverse pool of candidates.
- The advertisement will be reviewed by the Assistant Director for EEO and Workforce Diversity to ascertain that it is in compliance with federal regulations.
- The Assistant Director for EEO and Workforce Diversity will add the inclusive hiring language to the ad.
- Please remember that, due to cost, the College prefers online to print advertisement.
   Consult the DOF/VPAA or the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity should you have questions.

#### d. Demographic Data Collection

Applicant pool information is necessary for the institution (please consult the Assistant Director for EEO and Workforce Diversity if you have questions regarding this step of the process).

#### 2. Contract Request

Once a candidate has accepted the offer, please complete a Contract Request Form (CRF):

- a. A contract Request Form (CRF) <u>MUST</u> Be Filled Out For <u>EVERY</u> Hire. The Link to CRF: <u>http://cms.skidmore.edu/dof/forms/contract\_form.cfm</u>
  - Provide all requested information as accurately as possible.
  - Indicate the appropriate rank of the new hire. If unsure, please contact the DOF/VPAA Office (x 5705) for assistance.

- Include the amounts agreed upon for relocation and/or start-up funds. Please
  note that relocation allowances cannot be reimbursed until after the new faculty's
  first payroll is processed.
- Include any special arrangements in the appropriate text area.
- The DOF/VPAA Office will process the contract letter which will go out no later than 18 working days from the date of request. If an expedited letter is required, please request it on the contract request form.

#### b. The Contract Letter

- The DOF/VPAA Office will email a draft of the contract letter to the Department Chair or Program Director. PLEASE READ IT CAREFULLY before giving final approval to ensure that all agreed-upon terms are included.
- A return date is generally set for two weeks from the date of the contract letter.
  The DOF/VPAA/ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity will request
  the chair or director to follow-up with the candidate if s/he has not returned the
  signed letter by the due date.

PLEASE FEEL FREE AT ANY POINT DURING THE RECRUITMENT/HIRING/CONTRACT PROCESS TO CONTACT THE DEAN OF FACULTY'S OFFICE FOR ASSISTANCE.

## II. Faculty Evaluation

## A. Annual Faculty Evaluation Letters

Evaluation Letters for Tenure-Track Faculty and Faculty in Contingent Appointments are due to the DOF/VPAA by August 31:

- "All faculty members have the right to receive from their Department Chairs written evaluations of their performance: annually if non-tenured; every three years if tenured, if Library faculty in more than their sixth consecutive year of continuing service; or more frequently and informally at the individual's request." (Faculty Handbook, Part One, Article VII(A)(2), p. 110)
- "Continuing part-time faculty shall also receive annual letters of evaluation. Tenured members of the department shall normally be evaluated every three years on a schedule determined by the Chair and coordinated with the individual's and the department's sabbatical cycle. The DOF/VPAA shall keep a record of tenured faculty members' evaluation cycles, and remind Department Chairs when evaluations are due. The annual letters of evaluation shall be transmitted to the individuals concerned and a copy will be sent to the DOF/VPAA Office no later than August 31 following that academic year. These evaluations are to be kept on file in the individual's department and in DOF/VPAA Office. Only the individual, the Chair, and the DOF/VPAA may have access to these evaluations." (Faculty Handbook, Part One, Article XIII(D)(3), p. 143)

## B. Guidelines for Individual Faculty Summary of Activities

- Individual faculty summary of activities are due annually to the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity by June 30. Annual Reports are collected in the DOF/VPAA Office and are read by the DOF/VPAA and ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity over the summer. Any questions or concerns will be addressed during the summer months.
  - a. The following is an outline of the points to be included in the summary; however, the online form (Word document) can be found at: http://www.skidmore.edu/dof-vpaa/forms/index.php
    - i. Report Contents
    - ii. Summary of:
      - a. Teaching
        - New departmental courses taught; new approaches to existing courses, including use of computers or multi-media in teaching.
        - New interdisciplinary courses taught or new approaches to such existing courses.
        - Curricular work-in-progress.
        - Skidmore curriculum/pedagogy summer workshops attended.
        - Faculty/Student Research, independent studies, theses, etc.
        - Other.

#### b. Professional Activity

- Publications, exhibits, performances, etc. (Give full citations with dates).
- Presentations at professional meetings or on campuses.
- Supported by Skidmore Travel funds? Fully, partially, or not at all.
- Consultancies.
- Workshops attended on or off campus.
- Professional meetings attended and/or professional association committee responsibilities.
- External Grants (applied for, received, or denied)
  - Name of foundation or agency;
  - o Title of Proposal;
  - o Amount of funding requested; and
  - Date applied, date received, or date denied.
- Faculty Development Grants applied for? Received?
- Other.

#### c. Service

- Administrative responsibilities in program or department.
- Committee responsibilities.
- Advising responsibilities.
- Other community activities
  - UWW and/or MALS;
  - Saratoga Springs and region.

#### d. Honors received

## C. Second and Third Year Reappointment Cases for Tenure Track Faculty

## 1. Second Year Reappointment Cases

Recommendations for second-year reappointment cases for tenure-track faculty are due by the Department Chair on or before May 31:

"At the end of the appointee's second year, the department shall determine whether it regards the appointee as a candidate for reappointment according to departmental procedures and the evaluative criteria set forth in Part One, Article VI, Section A, with particular emphasis on teaching effectiveness. The Department shall inform the DOF/VPAA Office of its decision on or before May 31st of that year. In the case of proportional appointments (see Part One, Article VI, Section C), each program and/or department involved shall inform the DOF/VPAA of its decision on or before May 31st of that year." (Faculty Handbook, Part One, Article VIII [D][1][a])

#### 2. Third-Year Reappointment Cases

"An appointee considered by the department to be a candidate for reappointment at the end of the second year will be evaluated in the third year according to departmental procedures. The department must submit its recommendation, positive or negative, with supporting evidence to the office of the Dean of the Faculty on or before January 15<sup>th</sup> of the appointee's third year." (*Faculty Handbook*, Part One, Article VIII [D][1][b])

## 3. The process for second- and third-year reappointment cases for tenure-track faculty include:

- a. Letters: Each clearly indicating support or lack thereof.
  - The Chair's letter makes the case, including statement of departmental or programmatic need.
  - All members in the department in at least their third year write a letter.
  - Program Directors, whenever pertinent, will also write letters.
- b. Student evaluations: both dean's card and departmental long forms (please make sure that all copies of the long forms are legible).

- c. Documentation of professional activity whether scholarly or creative, such as publications, conference papers, tapes, reviews (by or about candidate), slides, etc. The Chair's letter should explain the candidate's professional activity and how it should be or/has been evaluated (e.g., professional status of journals, conferences, galleries, etc.)
- d. Teaching and research or scholarly statements from the candidate are desirable.
- e. Copies of annual and semi-annual summary of activities reports.
- f. List file contents. Copies should be kept by both the chair and the candidate. In the case of additions, all copies of the content list must be updated.

# D. Stop tenure clock policy – (See Faculty Handbook, Part 1, Article VIII [E][4])

# E. Suggested Guidelines for Writing Letters in Reappointment and Tenure Cases

The Faculty Handbook mandates participation of certain faculty in reappointment and tenure cases. Moreover, other members of the faculty and administration are often invited to provide recommendations to the DOF/VPAA or to the Committee on Appointments, Promotions and Tenure (CAPT). The following extracts from the Faculty Handbook make clear who is required/invited to participate in these personnel cases.

#### 1. Third-Year Reappointment - (See Faculty Handbook, Part I, Article VIII [D][1][b])

An appointee considered by the department to be a candidate for reappointment at the end of the second year will be evaluated in the third year according to department procedures. The department must submit its recommendation, positive or negative, with supporting evidence to the DOF/VPAA on or before January 15 of the appointee's third year. This evidence must include a cover letter from the chair and letters from full-time faculty and those holding shared appointments in the department concerned (in the ranks defined in Part One, Article VI [Appointments to the Faculty], Sections A [Tenure-Track Appointments] and E. [Non-Tenure-Track Appointments], and 2b. [Artist- or Writer-in-Residence] who are in at least their third year of full-time service at Skidmore, and (where appropriate) Program Directors.

#### (Please note the CAPT Calendar to be certain of all dates)

The department must present clear and decisive evidence concerning the individual's professional qualifications and the department's need for the candidate's particular abilities in its projected programs.

#### 2. Tenure. See Faculty Handbook, Part I, Article VIII(E)(3)(a)(b)(c) and (d) as follows:

The CAPT has the responsibility of securing information with respect to the candidate's teaching competence, professional accomplishment, and service to the academic community. Sources of this information include letters from:

- a. Full-time faculty and those holding shared appointments in the department concerned (in the ranks defined in Part One [Faculty Rights and Responsibilities], Article VI [Appointments to the Faculty], Sections A [Tenure-Track Appointments] and E [Non-Tenure-Track Appointments], number 2b [Artist- or Writer-in-Residence] who are in at least their third year of full-time service at Skidmore.
- b. Department Chair
- c. Program Directors (where appropriate).
- d. Sources suggested by the candidate under consideration, including Administrative Officers, the Coordinator or Director of a program, or Chair of a department in case the candidate has taught in an interdisciplinary program or department other than the one in which he or she holds an appointment.
- 3. Individuals writing letters of evaluation for the candidate shall clearly state whether they do or do not recommend tenure and why, according to the criteria for continued service (as found in Part One, Article VIII(A) of the Faculty Handbook.
- 4. The Chair, in the letter of evaluation for the candidate, shall clearly state whether the department does or does not recommend for tenure and why, according to the criteria for continued service. Furthermore, the Chair shall clearly state the extent to which a candidate's particular abilities will continue to be needed, as far as the department's future can be projected.
- **5.** While reappointment letters are directed to the DOF/VPAA, and letters in tenure cases are addressed to the CAPT, there are some general points to consider which may help faculty, and particularly Department Chairs, in writing effective letters.
- 6. Letters should help the DOF/VPAA and CAPT to understand the case by explaining the nature of the candidate's teaching, research, and service. Every field has its idiosyncrasies as does every personnel case; moreover, while members of CAPT and the DOF/VPAA have a great deal of experience in evaluating personnel cases, they are unlikely to have specific expertise in the candidate's field, especially since no member of CAPT may deliberate about a case from his or her own department. Therefore, the most useful letters place the candidate's record in the context of his/her field as well as in the context of the work of the department and the college.
- 7. Nearly every candidate has both strong and weak points in his or her file. An effective letter offers an honest evaluation of both the candidate's strengths and weaknesses and shows how such an evaluation leads to either a positive or a negative recommendation.

8. The "Evaluative Criteria for Continued Service" (See *Faculty Handbook*, Part I, Article VIII) provides the standards established by the faculty against which all faculty must be measured. But while the *Faculty Handbook* separates these criteria into the categories of teaching, scholarship, and community service, the work of some candidates may not be so tidily divided. Effective letters help the DOF/VPAA and CAPT understand a candidate's case by demonstrating how a particular file should be read. The most valuable letters will achieve many of the following goals; and will address <u>both</u> the strengths and, equally importantly, the weaknesses of a candidates file.

#### a. TEACHING. Effective letters will:

- Characterize the candidate's teaching effectiveness. CAPT must rely on departmental letters, student evaluations and material that candidates submit (e.g., syllabi, statement of teaching philosophy, etc.) to gain a sense of a candidate's teaching profile. An assessment of a candidate's teaching, above and beyond a reading of the student evaluations, is a necessary part of the file. Illustrations drawn from peer visits or other assessment tools are extremely important. While numerical summaries from the short forms and excerpted comments from the long forms are sometimes helpful, please bear in mind that each member of CAPT reads every teaching evaluation and that, therefore, such summaries and excerpts should also be supported by interpretation from a departmental perspective.
- Reflect on the role the faculty member has played in the department and the college. Characterize the kinds of courses a candidate has offered. If the candidate has taught mostly lower- or upper-level courses, explain why. Say whether the courses are required or electives, whether they serve the department, the college, or both. Show how the candidate's teaching contributes to the departmental and college curriculum. Has the candidate offered interdisciplinary courses or contributed to the FYE Program? (Where appropriate, the *Faculty Handbook* mandates that Program Directors and Department Chairs will consult with each other.) Has the candidate designed non-traditional approaches to teaching? Has the candidate made use of the web? What new courses has the candidate developed?
- Reflect on the faculty member's development as a teacher. CAPT is most interested in the trajectory of the candidate's development. What is the long story that course evaluations and peer visits reveal? If the candidate experiences difficulties in some course or courses, account for that. Indeed, account for anything that might strike a stranger's eye as odd or different

## b. SCHOLARSHIP. Effective letters will:

• Place the candidate's scholarly, creative, or professional work within the context of the candidate's field. How does the candidate's work contribute to the field? What is distinctive about the discipline that CAPT might need to know in order to evaluate the candidate's work? What is unique to the candidate's professional work that CAPT might not understand (for instance the role of patents, grants, performances, exhibitions etc.).

- Characterize the quality of the journals, presses, professional, or creative outlets
  where the candidate's work has appeared. Say how the discipline values books or
  juried articles, museum exhibits or regional concerts. Explain the role the candidate
  has had in jointly produced work. Explain the significance of being first, second, or
  nth author/investigator on a particular project or grant.
- Explain what aspects of the candidate's professional work, if any, might be difficult or impossible for the department to evaluate. To what sources in the file should CAPT, the DOF/VPAA and/or ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity look for an evaluation of this work?
- Place the candidate's scholarly, creative or professional profile within the context of
  the candidate's career at Skidmore. Reflect on the advantages and disadvantages of
  doing a particular kind of work in a small college setting and on the value of the
  candidate's work to Skidmore. Speak about collaborative research with students.
  Comment on financial support, facilities, time constraints, released time (including
  pre-tenure sabbatical), and interdisciplinary work or work with colleagues at other
  institutions.

#### c. SERVICE. Effective letters will:

- Place the candidate's service within the context of his/her career.
- Characterize the nature and importance of the candidate's service. Point to particular achievements in the department or college and to examples of leadership. Characterize the kinds of service the candidate has done: mostly curricular or mostly administrative; mostly with students or mostly with colleagues. Characterize the candidate's work as an advisor.
- Bring to light any as yet unacknowledged service to the profession, the department, or the college. Be particularly aware of the kind of service that does not appear on a CV: the ability to move a departmental discussion forward or even to stop it in its tracks; the mentoring of peers or younger colleagues. Be aware, too, that while service on committees is a very important aspect of citizenship, service should not be construed only as committee work.
- 9. NOTE FOR CHAIRS: The Chair's letter plays an extremely important role in a reappointment or tenure case. This letter should not only respond to the points and questions raised above, but present the department's position on a case. If a department generally agrees about the evaluation of a candidate, the chair must still account for, and attempt to explain, the dissonant voice(s). If a recommendation is genuinely mixed, again, the Chair needs to offer CAPT, the DOF/VPAA a context for understanding the difference in perspectives. Ignoring negative (or positive) voices only provokes more questions for those reading the file. Moreover, ignoring student complaints about teaching, or a thin publication record, or a service category that is all but empty suggests that the Chair thinks that CAPT, or the DOF/VPAA will not notice what the Chair does not point out. On the contrary, CAPT will struggle all the more over a case that has not been comprehensively presented by the Department Chair.

# F. Procedures for Evaluation of Program Directors and Faculty assigned to Programs

- 1. If the candidate is tenure-track or tenured in a department, the Department Chair shall take into account the candidate's contributions to the program in writing the annual (or in the case of tenured faculty, triennial) letter of evaluation. (For tenure-track candidates in a program, see *Faculty Handbook*, Part One [Faculty Rights and Responsibilities], Article VI [Appointments to the Faculty], Section B [Fully Dedicated Tenure-Track Lines in ID Programs].)
- 2. In all personnel decisions, the Department Chair shall consult in writing with the faculty who have been active in the program during the last two years to gather evidence on the performance of the candidate in the areas of teaching, scholarly, professional or creative activity, and community service.
- **3.** In the case of programs with personnel committees, such as Gender Studies, the Department Chair shall consult the personnel committee. In the case of programs without personnel committees, the candidate's Department Chair shall solicit letters from faculty in the program.
- **4.** The faculty referees shall in all cases indicate in writing clear support or lack of support for the candidate on the basis of his or her work in the program.
- 5. In a second year review, the Faculty Handbook does not require documentation appended to the Chair's letter. In all other personnel decisions forwarded to the DOF/VPAA and to the CAPT, the Department Chair will attach the written statements of those reviewing the candidate's contributions to the program. Chairs and referees shall ensure that all materials are forwarded to the DOF/VPAA and to the CAPT by the announced deadlines.
- **6.** With the exception of Interdisciplinary Programs which have tenure-track lines, it is the responsibility of the Department Chair to evaluate the candidate's contributions to the program and to refer to it in his/her letter to the DOF/VPAA and/or the CAPT. It is the responsibility of the Director for the ID Program to provide reviews and evaluations to tenure-track faculty in the Program.

## G. Overview of Faculty Evaluation

- **1.** First-year evaluation is conducted according to established departmental procedures. The Chair/Program Director will write an annual review letter.
- a. Second-year review is typically completed in the second semester of the second year, and includes thorough evaluation of:
  - Teaching, including student evaluations (long and short forms), and peer classroom visitation
  - professional work

• service (potential)

Chairs or Program Directors forward written recommendations to the DOF/VPAA.

- b. Third-year review is completed at the end of the first semester, and includes thorough evaluation of:
  - teaching student evaluations (long and short forms), and peer classroom visitation
  - professional work
  - community service

Chairs or Program Directors forward their written recommendation, with letters from Department members attached, to the DOF/VPAA in January. If the DOF/VPAA disagrees, the case goes to CAPT.

- c. Fourth year<sup>2</sup>
  - annual evaluation letter
- d. In the fifth year<sup>2</sup>, tenure review begins and it includes thorough evaluation of:
  - teaching same as third year
  - professional work
  - community service
- e. In the sixth year, the tenure case is concluded and presented to the CAPT in October.

## III. CAPT Calendar – 2014-2015

June				
Deadlines for June				
June 15 Letters from the Associate Dean of the Faculty for Personnel (ADOFP) to second-year faculty members who have not been designated as candidate s for reappointment by their department				
	July			
During the month of July				
Chair sends CAPT Calendar, CAPT Operating Code, and TAC Operating Code to Office of the				
DOF/VPAA for inclusion in Department Chairs' handbook				
August				
Deadlines for August				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Leaves for untenured faculty will require appropriate adjustment of the process.

August 29	<ul> <li>Candidates for tenure submit materials in evidence of teaching effectiveness to the Office of the DOF/VPAA.</li> <li>Candidates for tenure submit materials in evidence of professional and service accomplishments to the Office of the DOF/VPAA.</li> </ul>
	September
During the month	of September, CAPT
<ul> <li>As first a candidater repossible</li> <li>Annound the Faction Notified for those appoints than Notified appoints</li> </ul>	order of business, reviews file of any second-year appointee denied consideration as date for third-year reappointment when the ADOFP and the department disagree consideration. CAPT makes a third recommendation to the DOF/VPAA as soon as e, and no later than September 27.  The cest to all faculty that the annual meeting of CAPT for new tenure-track members of alty, their chairs, and the ADOFP will occur in February 2015.  The Department Chairs reminding them to submit letters for promotions to the ADOFP of faculty who earned doctoral degrees during the summer.  The ates and consults with DOF/VPAA and ADOFP about administrative ments/reviews anticipated in the year ahead. (Any review must be initiated no later ovember 1, as stipulated in the Faculty Handbook.)
	PAA calls for nominations for endowed chairs.
Deadlines for Sep	
Tuesday, September 2	<ul> <li>Candidates for tenure submit names of referees inside the Skidmore community to the chair of CAPT.</li> <li>ADOFP informs CAPT of any special arrangements regarding chairs or personnel committees in tenure cases.</li> </ul>
Monday, September 8	<ul> <li>The Chair of CAPT sends a letter listing tenure candidates for the year to the President with a copy to the DOF/VPAA and ADOFP.</li> <li>The Chair of CAPT requests letters from chairs, full-time faculty members (and those holding shared appointments) in the candidate's department (in the ranks defined in Part One, V Categories of Appointments to the Faculty, Topic A, Tenure Track Appointments and E.2.b. Artist or Writer-in-Residence) in at least their third year of full-time service at Skidmore College, Program Directors (where appropriate), and other individuals deemed appropriate (due September 26).</li> <li>The Chair of CAPT requests letter from chairs and/or Program Directors reporting the department's or program's evaluation of the tenure candidate (due September 26).</li> <li>The Chair of CAPT sends letters to referees invited by the candidate from inside the College requesting information about candidates for tenure.</li> <li>DOF/VPAA calls for nominations for open endowed chairs.</li> </ul>
Friday,	Letters on tenure candidates due from external referees due.
September 12	
Friday, September 26	<ul> <li>Letters on tenure candidates due from departmental colleagues, program directors (as appropriate), and other individuals deemed appropriate.</li> <li>Letters from internal Skidmore referees due</li> </ul>

• Faculty members appointed to committee to review an administrative officer to begin consultation with the President, the officer being reviewed, and the

• Letters from internal Skidmore referees due.

Faculty Executive Committee.

	• CAPT recommendation to DOF/VPAA due by this date, but preferably before, in the review of any second year candidate denied consideration as a candidate for 3 <sup>rd</sup> -year reappointment within the department when the ADOFP and the department have continued to disagree after the department has reconsidered the case.
	October
During the month of	of October
CAPT delib	erations on tenure candidates commence and continue to Friday, November 28.
CAPT meets	s with potential candidates for promotion and their chairs.
Deadlines for Octo	ber
Friday, October 3	<ul> <li>The Chair of CAPT sends to tenure candidates a list of names of all those who have written unsolicited letters about the candidates.</li> <li>Letters from chairs or other evidence of completed degrees due to the DOF/VPAA on promotions for those faculty who earned terminal degrees during the summer.</li> </ul>
Friday, October 10	• Latest date to hold open meeting on promotion for qualifying candidates, chairs, and other interested parties.
Wednesday, October 15	• As soon as possible, and in no case later than October 15, the DOF/VPAA announces to the department a decision relative to any second-year candidate denied consideration as a candidate for 3 <sup>rd</sup> - year reappointment by the department when the ADOFP and the department have continued to disagree after departmental reconsideration of the case
Friday, October 25	<ul> <li>Letters of nomination for endowed chairs due to DOF/VPAA</li> <li>Letters to the DOF/VPAA and Department Chairs regarding January 23</li> </ul>
	deadline for recommendation for promotions.
	November
During the month of	of November
CAPT d     endowed	eliberates and consults with DOF/VPAA and ADOFP about nominations for dehairs
Deadlines for Nove	ember
Friday, November 28	• CAPT makes tenure recommendations to the President, with copy to the DOF/VPAA and ADOFP.
	December
Deadlines for Dece	mber
Friday, December 5	DOF/VPAA announces appointments to endowed chairs.
Friday, December 12	ADOFP notifies Department Chairs regarding tenure recommendations; Department Chairs immediately notify candidates.
	January
During the month of	
	nsideration of candidates for promotion (January 23 through March 20)
Deadlines for Janu	
Thursday,	Recommendations for reappointment due from departments to the ADOFP.
January 15	Petition for tenure review due to Tenure Review Board
Friday,	Recommendations for promotion due to CAPT
January 23	Candidates and Department Chairs submit files to CAPT containing supporting

		materials for promotion						
	The Chair of CAPT sends to candidates for promotion a letter stating that they							
	are candidates for promotion.							
	February							
Du	ring the month c	f February						
	CAPT meets w	ith new tenure-track faculty, their chairs, and the ADOFP to discuss reappointment						
	and tenure pro	cedures and criteria.						
De	adlines for Febru	iary						
	Friday,	• Tenure Review Board will convey recommendation to the President, CAPT,						
	February 6	DOF/VPAA, ADOFP, candidate's Department Chair, and candidate.						
	Monday,	• ADOFP makes recommendations to the DOF/VPAA on 3 <sup>rd</sup> year						
	February 16	reappointments.						
		• ADOFP reports to CAPT on 3 <sup>rd</sup> year reappointment recommendations.						
	Wednesday,	• In case of a disagreement between a department and the ADOFP on						
	February 25	reappointment, CAPT presents a third opinion to the DOF/VPAA.						
		March						
Du	ring the month c	of March (or April)						
	<ul> <li>CAPT meets</li> </ul>	s with next year's candidates for tenure and their chairs.						
	<ul> <li>CAPT meets</li> </ul>	s with newly reappointed faculty and their chairs.						
De	adlines for Marc	h						
	Sunday,	Letters from the administration to candidates on tenure and reappointment						
	March 1	decisions on or before this date.						
	Monday,	All materials supporting tenure appeals due to the Tenure Appeal Committee.						
	March 16	ADOFP delivers to CAPT a list of faculty who have been at the rank of						
		Associate Professor for 7 years or more.						
	Friday,	CAPT makes recommendations to the President on promotions with copy to the						
	March 20	DOF/VPAA and the ADOFP.						
		April						
Du	ring the month o	of April						
	• ADOFP info	orms Department Chairs regarding promotion recommendations; Department Chairs						
	immediately	notify candidates.						
De	adlines for April							
	Friday,	ADOFP delivers list of tenure candidates to CAPT.						
	April 24	CAPT sends letter to tenure candidates with list of due dates.						
		May						
Du	ring the month o	of May						
	<ul> <li>Department</li> </ul>	review of second-year faculty members.						
	CAPT meets with Department Chairs and relevant Program Directors at the close of the							
	academic year to discuss procedures and criteria for tenure and promotion.							
	Oral reports from committees undertaking administrative reviews due to CAPT.							
	ADOFP delivers list of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year reappointment candidates to CAPT.							
De	adlines for May							
	Friday,	Names and addresses of external tenure referees for candidates in 2015-2016						
	May 8	due to CAPT Chair and to the Office of the DOF/VPAA						

Friday,	Chair of CAPT sends letters to referees invited by the candidate from outside
May 15	the College requesting information about candidates for tenure (with copies to
	candidates and chairs).
Friday,	Letters from Department Chairs to ADOFP stating whether second-year faculty
May 22	members are candidates for 3rd year reappointment; ADOFP delivers list of 3rd
-	year reappointment candidates to CAPT.

## IV. Retirement Process

Normally you will find that faculty or department staff will retire at the end of a semester. While most choose to retire at the end of the spring semester, you may have notification of a fall retirement also. Any non-faculty members in your department may, of course, choose any time of the year to retire.

In order to facilitate a successful transition to retirement, the following guidelines are recommended:

- Ask the faculty or staff member to submit her/his request in writing.
- Forward one copy of the resignation notice to the DOF/VPAA Office and one copy to Human Resources.
- Encourage the faculty member or employee to discuss his/her benefits with Human Resources who will guide her/him through the process of requesting retirement payments, social security, and any other eligible benefits.
- You should be aware that if the faculty member is retiring at the end of the Spring semester, his/her last paycheck could be either in May or June; if it is at the end of fall semester, his/her last paycheck may be at the end of November or beginning of December. Human Resources will be able to indicate the actual date.

## V. Phased Employment Guidelines

 Based on a recommendation from the Department and with concurrence from the DOF/VPAA, a faculty member may elect to participate in the phased retirement program, which can be reviewed at <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/policies">http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/policies</a> for eligibility and benefit coverage information.

Please note that there is no guarantee that a faculty line will return to a department following a retirement or a resignation. Remember that the position request is submitted to the DOF/VPAA and that a justification of need based on programmatic, curricular and institutional goals is necessary for all tenure-track and contingent position requests.

## VI. Appointment and Review of Department Chairs

## A. Criteria for Appointment. The Appointee:

- Shall normally hold the rank of Associate or Full Professor.
- Should have extensive and successful teaching experience.
- Should have qualities of personal and professional leadership and should have demonstrated evidence of administrative skill.

## **B.** Procedures for Appointment

- a. Appointments of a Department Chair are made by the DOF/VPAA in consultation with the members of the department concerned.
- b. Appointments to the Chair are for two years and are renewable; four to eight years is the normal length of service. A Chair (tenured or untenured) may not be removed as Chair during the course of an academic year except for cause.

#### C. Procedures for Review

- 1. Department Chairs shall be reviewed once every four years with an informal interim review at the second year. In the event of an intervening sabbatical or leave of absence, the review will take place in the fifth year. More frequent reviews may take place at the request of the Chair or the DOF/VPAA. Untenured faculty serving as Chairs at the time of review for reappointment or for tenure shall be reviewed separately as Department Chairs.
- 2. The review of a Department Chair shall be conducted by the DOF/VPAA. Each member of the department will be requested to write an evaluation to the DOF/VPAA. All such statements shall be confidential.
- **3.** Student majors in the department may also be involved in the review of Chairs, and each department will determine the process of student involvement.

## D. Obligations Pertaining to Department Chairs

1. LEADERSHIP: The Chair is responsible to the College, to the department, and to the administration for the effective leadership of the department. The Chair is responsible to the department for the effective and accurate representation of its interests and concerns to the administration. Chairs should strive to recruit and maintain faculty who demonstrate excellence both in teaching and professional accomplishment. They should coordinate and stimulate participation in departmental affairs by all faculty and, where appropriate, students, and strive to keep departmental morale high. They should, moreover, maintain sensitivity to the world outside their disciplines and the College, and continually attempt to keep their departments aware of, and responsive to, the larger educational and social contexts in which they function.

- 2. CURRICULUM: The Chair, in consultation with other department members, is responsible for the department's course offerings and major requirements. To the greatest extent possible, faculty should be permitted to teach the courses they prefer in the areas of their particular expertise, providing that student needs are met. Scheduling of courses and determination of examination policies should reflect the wishes of the department members teaching those courses. The Chair should take into account the needs of the students as well as the discipline in the shaping of the curriculum. The Chair has the primary responsibility for encouraging faculty to advise students conscientiously and carefully and also to keep library and resource materials current.
- 3. PERSONNEL: The Chair is responsible for seeking out highly qualified candidates for vacancies in the department. An important factor in their selection should be their competence and willingness to teach according to the specified needs of the department and the College. The Chair establishes search and selection procedures in consultation with the DOF/VPAA, the Assistant Director for EEO and Workforce Diversity, Program Directors (where appropriate), and members of the Department. The Chair makes recommendations on appointments (Part One, Article VI), reappointments (Part One, Article VIII), promotions (Part One, Article VIII), tenure (Part One, Article VIII), sabbaticals and leaves (Part One, Article IX), and salary increments to the DOF/VPAA, the Committee on Faculty Development (where appropriate) and the CAPT (where and when required).

The Chair is responsible for coordinating and making equitable the teaching loads of the members of the department according to standards (including those governing course releases) administered by the DOF/VPAA. The Chair renders guidance and assistance to faculty in every way possible. The Chair keeps untenured faculty apprised of their progress through the tenure system through mentoring and annual letters of evaluation. Each year non-tenured faculty meet with their respective Chairs to discuss the content of their annual letters of evaluation. Continuing part-time faculty shall also receive annual letters of evaluation. Tenured members of the department shall normally be evaluated every three years on a schedule determined by the Chair and coordinated with the individual's and the department's sabbatical cycle. The DOF/VPAA shall keep a record of tenured faculty members' evaluation cycles, and remind Department Chairs when evaluations are due. The annual letters of evaluation shall be transmitted to the individuals concerned and a copy will be sent to the DOF/VPAA office no later than August 31st following that academic year. These evaluations are to be kept on file in the individual's department and in the Dean of the Faculty's office. Only the individual, the Chair, and the DOF/VPAA may have access to these evaluations.

4. COMMUNICATION: The Chair should foster effective intra- and inter-departmental communications among students, faculty and administrators, making clear to these constituencies the nature of all departmental policies and procedures. Department meetings should be held regularly, and department members should be informed of discussions at academic staff meetings. The Chair is responsible for keeping the catalogue description of the department current and accurate, and is responsible for the biennial departmental report to the DOF/VPAA.

5. SUPPORT: The Chair shall seek to provide faculty members with adequate office space and working facilities and, in consultation with the DOF/VPAA, shall make necessary budgetary provisions for teaching aids such as films, records, slides, videotapes, software, etc., for duplicating equipment, for field trips, and for proper administrative support and student assistance.

## VII. Appointment, Review, and Evaluation of Directors

## A. Criteria for Appointment. The appointee:

- Shall normally hold the rank of Associate, or Full Professor in a department.
- Should have extensive and successful teaching experience.
- Should have qualities of personal and professional leadership and should demonstrate evidence of administrative skill.

## **B.** Procedures for Appointment

- 1. Appointment of a Program Director is made by the DOF/VPAA in consultation with the teaching faculty in the program and (when applicable) the appropriate Department Chair.
- **2.** A Director (tenured or untenured) may not be removed as Director during the course of an academic year except for cause.

#### C. Procedures for Review

- 1. Program Directors shall be reviewed by the DOF/VPAA once every four years with an informal interim review. In the event of an intervening sabbatical or leave of absence, the review will take place in the fifth year. More frequent reviews may take place at the request of the DOF/VPAA. Untenured faculty serving as Directors at the time of review for reappointment or for tenure shall be reviewed separately as Program Directors.
- **2.** The review of a Program Director shall be conducted by the DOF/VPAA. Each active member of the program will be requested to present a written evaluation to the DOF/VPAA. All such statements shall be confidential.
- **3.** Student majors in the department may be also involved in the review of Chairs and Program Directors; each department or program will determine the process for student involvement.

## D. Obligations Pertaining to Program Directors

1. **LEADERSHIP**: The Director is responsible to the College, to the program, and to the administration for the effective leadership of the program. The Director is responsible to the program for the effective and accurate representation of its interests and concerns to the administration. Directors should strive to promote, and coordinate faculty

participation in the program. They should coordinate and stimulate participation in program affairs by all faculty and, where appropriate, students, and strive to keep program morale high. They should, moreover, maintain sensitivity to the world outside their programs and the College, and continually attempt to keep their programs aware of and responsive to the larger educational and social contexts in which they function. Directors should ensure that the interdisciplinary nature of the programs remain central to the mission of the College.

- 2. CURRICULUM: The Director, in consultation with other program members, is responsible for the program's course offerings and requirements. Directors, in consultation with teaching faculty and Department Chairs, will coordinate the scheduling of courses. The Director should take into account the needs of the students as well as the program in the shaping of the curriculum. The Director has the primary responsibility for advising students in the program and also keeping library and resource materials current.
- 3. PERSONNEL: The Director, in consultation with appropriate Department Chairs, is responsible for seeking out highly qualified candidates to teach in the program. The Director renders guidance and assistance to faculty in the program. The Director has access to teaching faculty's curriculum vitae, syllabi and teaching evaluations for courses in the program. The Director consults with the appropriate Department Chair when teaching faculty in the program are eligible for reappointment, tenure, and promotion.
- **4. COMMUNICATION**: The Director should foster effective intra- and inter-program communications among all students, faculty, and administrators, making clear to these constituencies the nature of all program policies and procedures. The Director is responsible for keeping the catalogue description of the program current and accurate and for the annual program report to the DOF/VPAA.
- 5. **SUPPORT**: The Director, in consultation with the DOF/VPAA and the appropriate Department Chair(s), if relevant, shall make necessary budgetary provisions for teaching aids such as films, records, slides, videotapes, software, etc., for duplicating equipment, for field trips, and for proper secretarial and student assistance.

## VIII. Links to Personnel Policies and Handbooks

## A. Employee Handbooks:

- Faculty Handbook: <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/academic\_affairs/secure/handbook/index.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/academic\_affairs/secure/handbook/index.cfm</a>
- The Employee and Faculty Handbook: <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/policies/handbooks.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/policies/handbooks.cfm</a>
- Employee Handbook For Exempt (Administrative/Professional, A/P) and Non Exempt (Support Staff, SS) Employees <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/policies/handbooks.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/policies/handbooks.cfm</a>

- B. Personnel Policies (Administrative Professional and Support Staff Positions) (information, policies and forms can be found on the Human Resources website):
  - Policies and Procedures <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/policies/index.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/policies/index.cfm</a>
  - Performance Review <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/policies/performance.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/policies/performance.cfm</a>
  - PQ Process <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/policies/pg/index.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/policies/pg/index.cfm</a> (login id required)

### PART TWO

## THE ACADEMIC PROGRAM

## I. Guidelines for Department/Program Biennial Reports

Department/Program biennial reports are due to the DOF/VPAA Office by June 30. The report should contain a summary of:

- Opportunities and challenges facing the department or program
- Enrollment patterns and/or pressures and curricular concerns
- Efforts to support the Strategic Plan, as appropriate
- Curricular innovations both proposed and those in progress
- Personnel changes and/or anticipated changes
- Collective professional accomplishments, service, and activities of faculty, including external grants awarded or submitted, if applicable
- Space innovations/challenges
- Major events, lectures, and/or workshops
- Result of assessment work this year and continued plans for future (included as a separate attachment).

A reminder will be sent by the DOF/VPAA Office to Department Chairs and Program Directors in the beginning of May. Biennial Reports are collected in the DOF/VPAA Office in binders and are read by the Dean/Associate Dean over the summer. Any questions or concerns will be addressed during the summer months.

## II. Academic Assessment at Skidmore College

Think of some of the real questions you have about your curriculum and about how well your students are doing: How strong are our students' research skills? Can our students apply what they are learning outside of class? How motivated are our students to learn on their own? If our students can choose from a wide variety of electives, are they leaving our program with the same skills and knowledge, or does what they learn vary greatly from student to student? By the time our students are seniors, are they ready for their final courses or do some seem to have gaps in what they've learned? Do our introductory courses attempt to cover too much? Should we revise the sequence of our courses to enable students to learn more effectively? A good many of our courses are now four credits instead of three—do our students learn more or in greater depth as a result? These questions—and others like them—reflect the real concerns that faculty have about the effectiveness of their curricula. Finding answers to such questions is one of the most important roles for assessment.

## A. Annual Assessment Report

The annual assessment report is to be included as a separate attachment to the biennial program report to the DOF/VPAA. The components of the report include (1) the learning objectives or

goals of the program, (2) the method or methods used to assess each learning objective, (3) how, when, and by whom the results will be analyzed and acted upon, and (4) the results of the most current assessments and curricular changes based upon those results. Assessment plans may evolve from year to year based upon the experiences of the faculty with assessments. For a format of the annual report, go to the Skidmore assessment website: <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/assessment/index.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/assessment/index.cfm</a>.

## B. The Purpose

What do we really want to know about our students? The questions you ask will vary from program to program, whether they deal with students learning specific content, skills or attitudes, or perhaps with issues of student motivation and ability to monitor their own learning. Our assumption is that the key assessment questions are best known by the program faculty themselves, for they are the ones who encounter students on a daily basis, whether in their classes or outside. But finding ways to answer them is key to our success.

Academic assessment seeks to answer the broad question, "What and how well do our students learn what we are attempting to teach them?" As such, academic assessment is not designed to evaluate individual faculty or even individual courses. It is designed to evaluate programs as a whole, such as academic majors, and to determine where the programs might be strengthened in order to improve students' ability to learn. The primary audience for academic assessments is not administrators or accrediting agencies, but, rather, the program faculty themselves.

An assessment program is essentially a way of formalizing the informal discussions, concerns, and questions that faculty have always had about their classes and their students, whether in the hallways, their offices, department meetings, or social gatherings.

Academic assessments work best when they are designed and carried out by the academic faculty themselves, supported as appropriate by the Office of Institutional Research and the Faculty Assessment Coordinator. Therefore, it is essential that all faculty in our programs ask themselves such key questions as, "What should a graduate of our program know, be able to do, and/or value?" and "How do our courses provide students with opportunities to develop their knowledge, skills, and values?" The answers to such questions provide the basis for assessing the program.

In addition to assessments that become part of the fabric of each academic department, the institution assesses student learning in institution-wide contexts. For example, is the core curriculum accomplishing all that we want it to accomplish? Are residential life programs supportive of academic learning? What are the roles of extracurricular activities such as athletics, clubs, and guest speakers or performers? Clearly, the responsibility for assessing academic learning extends beyond the program faculty, for we all know that what students learn while in college results from an accumulation of learning experiences, both formal and informal.

An assessment plan involves more than determining what students should learn and assessing their learning. It requires time to share the results of the assessment with the faculty members and time to reflect upon what those results may imply for individual courses, course sequences, pedagogical practices, and/or student support. Faculty discussions of assessment results may

even lead to recommendations for changes to student support structures, such as the library, technology, career placement, or counseling and can provide substantial documentation supporting requests for needed resources. The most important step in any assessment program is the serious discussion by the program's faculty of the results of the assessments and what can be done to improve those results.

#### C. The Role of the Chair

What is the role of the Department Chair in fostering successful assessment efforts in each department? A list of responsibilities in helping the department develop an assessment plan includes the following:

- Ensuring that all syllabi in the department include the course's goals for student learning in the course. This is a requirement for accreditation. Ideally, these should relate to the department's goals for learning in courses at that level.
- Promoting faculty discussions of the characteristics of students (knowledge, skills, values) that graduates of the program should possess.
- Helping faculty determine how to assess those characteristics, such as deciding which samples of student work best indicate student abilities or how assessments can be built in to the normal work of teaching rather than added on.
- Supporting the work of faculty in conducting the actual assessments.
- Leading faculty discussions of the results of the assessments and the implications for the content and pedagogy of the curriculum.
- Supporting faculty efforts to improve student learning in the program, such as building the courses or assignments that are likely to help students develop those characteristics and meet our high expectations.
- Supporting the writing and dissemination of assessment reports as appropriate.
- Submitting the annual assessment plan and report to the Faculty Assessment Coordinator and the DOF/VPAA.

## D. Assessment Methods - A Short Overview

For assessment methods, models, examples from other institutions, and resources such as research reports and a bibliography of current articles and books on assessment, go to Skidmore's assessment website: <a href="http://www.skidmore.edu/administration/assessment/">http://www.skidmore.edu/administration/assessment/</a>.

Assessments may be carried out in many different ways, depending upon the depth of information and the nature of what is being assessed. The assessment methods may be categorized as either direct or indirect assessments.

- 1. Direct assessment methods. Direct assessment methods are "direct" because they look at actual student work to determine whether the students have learned what the faculty want them to learn. Among the direct methods most commonly used are the following:
  - a. **Portfolios**: Student portfolios may be collected from the time that students enter a program until they graduate or may be collected for narrower time frames. Students are responsible for gathering the information that the faculty want them to gather.

Among the types of materials contained in a portfolio may be research papers, essays, drafts of written material leading to a final product, laboratory research, videotapes of performances, exhibits of creative work, and examinations. A particularly valuable component of student portfolios is the reflective essay, in which the student reflects back upon his or her growth in scholarship or creative efforts and draws conclusions about his or her strengths and weaknesses at the time the portfolio is compiled. To save valuable space, many portfolios are now gathered electronically. The primary drawback of the portfolio is that it takes time for faculty to review. The primary advantage is that it can be designed to represent a broad view of student academic development, one that also contains some depth.

- b. Embedded assessments: Embedded assessments make use of student work produced in specific classes. As a result, the students do not even need to know that their work is being used for assessment purposes. In addition, the material used for assessment is produced within the normal workload of both faculty and students. As such, embedded assessments provide a realistic source of information about student work. In departments that use examinations to evaluate students, sometimes only a few of the examination items are actually designed for assessment purposes. The data provided by embedded assessments should be reviewed by faculty beyond the course instructor, perhaps using a rubric of key characteristics to guide the assessments. The instructor uses the student work to provide grades. The faculty examine the student work to understand what and how students are learning in the program.
- c. Capstone experiences or senior projects: Capstone experiences most often occur in courses taken by students toward the end of their academic program, typically in the senior year. Capstone courses can be designed to require students to demonstrate their accumulated knowledge, skills, and/or values through major creative or research projects, as well as written and oral presentations. The major advantage to the capstone course or experience is that it provides a focused event upon which the assessment can be based. As with embedded assessments, capstone courses make use of data that students produce within the normal course of their work. One caution is that, while the faculty member teaching the course is responsible for giving grades to students, other program faculty should be involved in evaluating the work of the students from an assessment perspective. A drawback to the capstone course is that it cannot hope to encapsulate everything that a student has learned, but assignments can be designed to elicit student work that does include much of what they have learned.
- d. Examinations or standardized tests external to the courses: Culminating examinations may be constructed by the faculty or purchased from national testing organizations (such as the ACT CAAP, ETS field exams, or the Missouri BASE). Constructing such examinations is time-consuming, and standardized national measures may not correlate with your academic program. They are costly to either the institution or the student. And, unless they are required for graduation, student motivation to do well in them may be low.

- e. **Internships and other field experiences:** Internships and field experiences provide opportunities for students to apply their learning outside the classroom. Evaluations of student work in such experiences may provide valuable information on whether the students are able to use what they have learned in class when they are confronted with "real world" situations. They may, in fact, be the capstone experience for the students' program.
- 2. Indirect assessment methods. Indirect assessment methods require that faculty infer actual student abilities, knowledge, and values rather than observe direct evidence, and so they do not provide the best data for making curricular decisions. Among indirect methods are:
  - a. Surveys: Student surveys or surveys of employers and others provide impressions from survey respondents. These impressions may change over time (for example, will a senior value the same thing as an alumnus who has been working for several years?). Respondents may respond with what they think those conducting the survey want to hear, rather than what they truly believe. Surveys are easy to administer, but often do not result in responses from everyone surveyed. They may, however, provide clues to what should be assessed directly. And they may be the only way to gather information from alumni, employers, or graduate school faculty.
  - b. **Exit interviews and focus groups:** Exit interviews and focus groups allow faculty to ask specific questions face-to-face with students. Their limitations are that the students may not respond honestly or fully, while their answers may be, as with surveys, impressions that may change over time. Often, for more objectivity, it may be best to have someone outside the actual program faculty conduct the interviews. Interviews and focus groups may provide clues to what should be assessed directly.
  - c. Inventories of syllabi and assignments: Inventories of syllabi and assignments may turn up information about the curriculum that is not evident until the actual inventory is conducted. As an indirect technique, the inventory does not indicate what students have learned, but it does provide a quick way of knowing whether some courses are redundant in what they teach or whether some gap in the curriculum exists. It is a valuable tool within the total assessment assemblage of tools.

## III. Guidelines for Academic Program Reviews:

#### A. Goals and Process

1. Based on the academic program review schedule in this Handbook, each year the DOF/VPAA and/or ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity will notify those departments scheduled for program review the following year. During the fall semester, the DOF/VPAA or ADOF will notify the chairs or directors of those programs and will request a list of suggested members of external review panels, and a set of issues and mutual concerns to be addressed in the review. In preparing the list of suggested reviewers, chairs and directors should seek well-qualified individuals, preferably from

institutions or programs similar to our own and, where possible, from the northeastern United States. Teams will normally consist of three individuals with diverse specializations. Team members will receive a modest honorarium. Following approval of the reviewers by the DOF/VPAA Office, Department Chairs and Program Directors should contact reviewers and set the dates for the visit as early as possible, and no later than early in the fall semester; teams may visit at any convenient time during the year. Copies of the reviewers' CVs should be sent to the DOF/VPAA and ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity.

- **2.** Programs will be asked to provide copies of the following materials to the DOF/VPAA Office for the external reviewers:
  - The departmental or program mission statement (which should include its relationship to all-college curricular and co-curricular programs), and its goals for student learning, both for majors and non majors.
  - A roster of the department (including administrative assistants) and a curriculum vitae of each faculty member of the department or program.
  - The most recent department or program annual report, including recent enrollment data and information regarding assessment of student learning.
  - Any special publications of the program, e.g., admissions brochures, newsletters, etc.
- 3. Programs will complete the self-study to be sent to the DOF/VPAA and the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity for review at least four weeks prior to forwarding it to the external evaluators. Reviewers should receive the self-study no later than two weeks prior to their visit. The self-study should address the following areas or questions:
  - What are the program's current strengths?
  - What are the program's current weaknesses?
  - A description and analysis of the program's curricular changes in the last three to five years.
  - What changes in the program's curriculum are being proposed for the next year and/or are under consideration for the next three to five years?
  - Where might some savings in spending be realized, or what resources might be reallocated? What would be the justification for allocation of resources?
  - How is student learning in the program being assessed? What has been the impact of assessment on the faculty's thinking about the program: curriculum, advising, events, facilities?
  - How do the department's programs (majors, minors, curriculum in general) contribute to the College's Goals for Student Learning and Development? How are these outcomes assessed?
  - What are the program's current and foreseeable needs in staff, equipment, or other support?

- If the program supports a major and/or a minor, what are the goals of that degree program and how are they met by the course requirements currently in effect?
- How does the program track its graduates after they leave the college?
- What are the career trajectories or educational attainment of majors and minors over the last ten years?
- What is the program's relationship to the various all-college programs (e.g., Asian Studies, Environmental Studies, First-Year Experience, and Gender Studies) and requirements (e.g. Cultural-Centered Inquiry, Writing, and Quantitative Reasoning)?
- What would you like to see happening in the program five years from now?
   Ten years?
- Other issues identified by the program and the administration in their preliminary discussions.
- 4. Departments and Programs will provide the DOF/VPAA and the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity with the arrival and departure schedules for visiting team members. They will set up their itineraries, which will include meetings with all program personnel, appropriate groups of students, and a tour of the facilities. The itinerary will include an initial meeting with the DOF/VPAA and ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity, meetings with department or program members, and at least one meeting with an academic leaders (Chair, Program Director) from outside the department/program being reviewed. It will also include time for team members to discuss, by themselves, their preliminary reactions to what they have learned from their visit. Review teams will submit written reports to the DOF/VPAA and ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity within 30 days of their campus visit. Skidmore will reimburse reviewers for travel, meals, and other incidental expenses incurred during their visit. If reviewers choose to drive, they must keep track of mileage. The College will pay an honorarium of \$700 to each member of the team and an additional \$300 for the team member writing the final report, who will be selected by the reviewing team. All honoraria will be paid promptly upon receipt of the final report.
- 5. After the report is submitted, the DOF/VPAA and ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity will forward a copy to the Department Chair or Program Director, who will share it with the members of the department or program. The Chair or Program Director will submit a written response to the report to the DOF/VPAA and ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity. The DOF/VPAA and the ADOF will also share the report with other members of the administration and will then meet with the Chair or Director of the program to discuss both the reviewers' report and the department's or program's response, to consider any recommendations for action.

#### B. Review Timeline and Details

- 1. Beginning of the semester of the review:
  - The DOF/VPAA approves the list of reviewers recommended by the department or program.

- The Department Chair or Program Director contacts the DOF/VPAA Office to identify potential dates. Dates are determined by the availability of the DOF/VPAA and the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity.
- Once the dates are determined, the DOF/VPAA Office will issue a letter to the reviewers stating the date, honoraria, and other information concerning their visit to Skidmore. The *Faculty Handbook*, course catalog, and other pertinent institutional information are also included with the above letter to reviewers.
- The support staff for the department or program under review makes all travel arrangements, reimbursements and payment of honoraria to the reviewers.

#### 2. Four to Six Weeks Before the Review:

 The self-study is completed for review by the DOF/VPAA and the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity. The DOF/VPAA and ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity will work with the Department Chair or Program Director if any revisions are necessary.

#### 3. Three to Four Weeks Before the Review:

• The Department Chair/Program Director, creates an itinerary which requires approval by the DOF/VPAA Office.

### 4. Two Weeks Before the Review:

- Self-study mailed to the reviewers by the Department Chair or Program Director.
- The Department or Program submits one copy of the self-study to the DOF/VPAA.

#### 5. One Week Before the Review:

• The itinerary is sent via e-mail attachment to the reviewers. Subsequent changes after the itinerary is sent should be included in a revised itinerary and given to the DOF/VPAA Office and to the reviewers upon their arrival.

## C. Draft Itinerary for Reviewer's Visit

Day 1	• Reviewers arrive on campus by 5:00 p.m.
Day 1	The DOF/VPAA and the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity dine
	with the reviewers to discuss the itinerary, self-study and to review the major
	issues that will need to be addressed during their visit.
Day 2	
Day 2	<ul> <li>Breakfast &amp; Morning</li> <li>Reviewers have breakfast with the Department Chair or Program Director.</li> <li>Reviewers meet with full-time department members and staff (including faculty and administrative support staff). Each meeting should last at least half an hour. If there is a large list of faculty and/or staff that need to meet with the reviewers, the department should determine the best process to structure the meetings.</li> <li>Lunch</li> </ul>
	Reviewers meet with majors and/or minors, or appropriate student constituencies, in the department or program. Lunch is often a comfortable environment for students to meet with reviewers.
	Afternoon
	Reviewers continue to meet with department members and staff.
	If there are other faculty, staff and/or students that need to meet with the reviewers but do not necessarily have to have a one-on-one meeting, a reception can be planned for late in the afternoon. Reception costs must be approved by the DOF/VPAA.
	Dinner
	Reviewers have dinner on their own to discuss their findings.
Day 3	Breakfast & morning
	Reviewers have breakfast with the Department Chair or members of the
	faculty/staff with whom they could not meet during day 2.
	The DOF/VPAA and ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity hold an
	exit interview with the reviewing team prior to its leaving campus.
	Depending on travel arrangements, reviewers stay for lunch or leave for their
	home institutions.

<sup>\*</sup> All expenses for the review are charged to an account in the DOF/VPAA Office.

Please consult with Sue Blair (ext. 5706 <a href="mailto:sblair@skidmore.edu">sblair@skidmore.edu</a>) regarding expenses and payments.

## D. Schedule of Department/Program Reviews

2014-15	Dance
2014 13	Music
	Social Work
2015-16	Art
2013-10	
	Art History Gender Studies
	Health and Exercise Sciences
2016-17	_
2010-17	Government  Management and Pusiness
	Management and Business
	Mathematics and Computer Science
2017 10	Physics American Studies
2017-18	Asian Studies Asian Studies
	Environmental Studies
2010 10	History  Arts Administration
2018-19	Geosciences
2010.20	IGR
2019-20	Foreign Languages and Literatures
2020 24	Library
2020-21	Anthropology
	English
	FYE
2024 22	Self-Determined Majors
2021-22	Classics
	Education Studies
	Latin American Studies
2022 22	International Affairs
2022-23	Early Childhood Center
	Honors Forum
	Sociology
	Psychology
2023-24	Economics
	Philosophy
	Religion
2024 27	Theater
2024-25	Biology
	Neuroscience

## IV. Guidelines for the Retention of Files in Department Offices

Departments or faculty should retain the following documents for the time periods specified below:

## A. Personnel records of faculty:

- Who have been denied a personnel decision: 6 years and 2 months
- Resigned: 6 years and 2 months
- **B.** Search files: 2 years.
- **C. Instructor evaluations:** 7 years (a sabbatical cycle) for all tenured/tenure-track faculty and 3 years for those on terminal appointments. [All evaluations should be kept for faculty who are at the rank of Associate Professor in order to retain a complete record of teaching accomplishment at the time of promotion.]
- **D. Teaching Associates:** 3 years if Senior, 6 years if not yet promoted
- E. Course syllabi: 6 years
- **F.** Assessment documents: 5 years
- **G. Final exams:** 1 year (maintained in individual faculty files)
- H. Department minutes: 6 years
- I. Individual Summary of Activities: 6 years

#### V. The Curriculum

#### A Guide to the College Curriculum Committee

Prepared by the 1983-84 Curriculum Committee

Revised and updated by the l984-85, l989-90, l99l-92, l993-94, 1996-97, 2000-01, 2002-03, 2004-05 Curriculum Committees.

Current version revised 12/13/04.

MEMBERSHIP 2014-15: Kathryn Frederick, (Scribner Library), T.H. Reynolds (Health and Exercise Sciences), Aiwu Zhao (Management and Business), Masako Inamoto (Foreign Languages and Literatures), Larry Jorgensen (Philosophy and Religion), Patricia Rubio (Associate Dean of the Faculty for Personnel, Development, and Diversity), Corey Freeman-Gallant (Associate Dean of Academic Policy and Advising), Dave DeConno (Registrar), Sharon Clemmey (Registrar)

All departments proposing curricular changes must submit those proposals to the College Curriculum Committee for approval. An outline of considerations and procedures is listed below. If you have further questions, please consult with the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity and/or the Chair of the Committee.

The Committee Chair brings all proposals to the committee for appropriate action. The Chair is elected from the faculty members on the Committee and serves an academic year term.

- **A.** Curriculum Changes requiring Committee Approval: All items contained in the Skidmore College Catalog fall within the purview of the committee, with the exception of staffing considerations, such as listings of departmental personnel, the professor assigned to teach a course, and the specific term in which the course is to be taught. The following changes must receive committee approval:
  - 1. New course proposals or substantial revision to an existing course. New courses include courses that were previously taught as topics courses in a department or program and are now being proposed as permanent courses with course descriptions appearing in the catalog. New courses also include courses that were previously taught but have since been deleted from the catalog. New course proposals should acquaint the committee members with the topics and student learning objectives of the course. To aid committee members in their review of the course, you must submit a syllabus, a course prospectus, an outline of student learning objectives, and a thorough description of course topics, readings, and requirements.
  - 2. Changes in the status of existing courses:
    - a. Deletion of a course from the catalog. Chairs should note that except in unusual circumstances, courses that have not been taught in the last three years should be submitted for deletion from the catalog.
    - b. Changes in:
      - Course description
      - Course level
      - Prerequisite(s)
      - Semester hours credit
      - Requirements met
  - 3. Establishment and elimination of majors and minors. Even after approval by the committee, the Faculty, and the Trustees, such changes may not take effect until they have been registered by the New York State Education Department.
  - **4.** Changes in major and minor requirements.
  - **5.** Descriptive text of the Department including: mission, goals, descriptions of major and minor, and descriptions of requirements for honors.
  - **6.** All other proposed changes in Catalog copy.
- B. General Considerations. Before initiating a curricular change, you may want to consider the following:

- 1. How does the proposed change affect the remainder of your program? How might it affect other departments and programs? How might the addition or deletion of a prerequisite affect current enrollment patterns in the course? Would the deletion of a course from your program prevent some students from completing a major or minor requirement?
- 2. In cases where there might be significant overlap in content between a proposed course and an existing course (whether in your department or in another department or program), chairs are requested to consult with the head of other departments and programs (where appropriate) and provide an explanation to the Committee indicating the nature of the differences and similarities.
- 3. How does the proposed course change affect staffing? For example: can new courses be adequately staffed in the future given the size of your department and current faculty loads? Will your proposal have an impact on staffing in another department (e.g., the deletion or addition of a prerequisite course outside your own department), or an impact on your department's staff involvement with all-college requirements? All such matters should be discussed with the ADOF for Personnel.
- **4.** The Committee is charged with reviewing the academic coherence of individual majors, minors, and concentrations, and their relationship to other programs within the College. This should be a central concern for departments and programs proposing course and program changes.

## C. Procedures

- 1. The Committee has created several forms for processing curricular revisions. These forms (in Word format) can be downloaded directly below [scrolling further in this document will present you with example forms and links to additional information]:
  - Propose a New Course or Substantial Revision of an Existing Course (<a href="http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/newcourse-v7.doc">http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/newcourse-v7.doc</a>)
  - Propose a Scribner Seminar either as a New Course or Revision of an existing course (<a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/curriculum\_committee/forms/index.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/curriculum\_committee/forms/index.cfm</a>). (See Scribner Seminar Guidelines and Resources [http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/ccallcollcurric.htm])
  - Request Routine Revisions (<u>Request Routine Revisions</u>)
  - Propose Revisions to Major/Minor/Programs (also use for new majors) (<a href="http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/major-v2.doc">http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/major-v2.doc</a>)
  - Establish or Eliminate a Major (<a href="http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/XVIII.pdf">http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/XVIII.pdf</a>) (from Faculty Handbook)
  - Sample Syllabus with Learning Goals
     (<a href="http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/BI360.pdf">http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/BI360.pdf</a>) (for further information please see Assessment Handbook
     [<a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/assessment/Handbook/index.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/assessment/Handbook/index.cfm</a>])
- 2. The forms should be submitted with the appropriate signature from the Department Chair and/or Interdisciplinary Program Director (even when proposing a First-Year Seminar or other interdisciplinary courses). If the proposed changes affect more than one department or program

(such as interdepartmental majors), obtain signatures of all Chairs and/or Directors involved. If your proposal involves a substantial or complicated change, you should consult with the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity and/or the Chair of the Committee on an informal basis before submitting your proposal.

- 3. Depending on the Committee's workload, requests may take from one to three weeks to process. In the case of the important deadlines listed below, please submit proposals at least three weeks in advance of the deadline.
  - a. Routine course revisions (e.g., change in Liberal Arts credit, change in title, decrease in prerequisites, deletion of a course) should be submitted on the appropriate form, with the copy going to the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity. After an administrative review, the Associate Dean will forward the proposal to the Chair of Curriculum Committee. Routine revisions are handled in an expedited fashion by the Chair, and may not involve committee discussion. Such revisions will be considered approved when signed by both the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity and the Chair of the Curriculum Committee.
  - b. New courses or substantial revisions to existing courses (e.g., change in course description, change in course level/number, change in semester hours of credit, change in all-college requirements designation, change in enrollment cap, increase in prerequisites) should be submitted on the appropriate form, with the copy going to the ADOF for Personnel. After an administrative review, the Associate Dean will forward the proposal to the Chair of Curriculum Committee, who will then bring the proposal before the committee. If the course is a First-Year Seminar, then the proposal must have the signature of the Director of the First-Year Experience before submitting it to the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity. If the course is to contribute to an Interdisciplinary Program, then the proposal must be submitted to the Program Director for review before consideration by the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity. Consult the appropriate Program Director for submission deadlines. The Chair of the Curriculum Committee, in consultation with the ADOF for Personnel, will place the proposal before the committee as soon as possible. New courses and substantial revisions to existing courses will be considered approved when signed by both the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity and the Chair of the Curriculum Committee. The signature of the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity indicates that the ADOF and the Department Chair have reviewed the proposal for scheduling, budgeting, staffing, and space considerations.
  - c. Revisions to majors, minors, or programs should be submitted to the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity. After an administrative review, the ADOF will forward the proposal to the Chair of Curriculum Committee, who will then bring the proposal before the committee.

## D. Deadlines

- 1. Although changes may be proposed at any time during the academic year, there are three very important deadlines:
  - a. Any changes to appear in the Spring schedule of classes must reach the Committee no later than September 15 of the preceding academic term.
  - b. Spring term new course proposals must also reach the Committee by September 15.
  - c. All changes in the Catalog, including changes for the Fall schedule of classes, must reach the Committee no later than December of the preceding academic year.
  - d. If the course is to contribute to an Interdisciplinary Program, then the proposal must be submitted to the Program Director for review before consideration by the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity. Consult the appropriate director for submission deadlines.
- 2. Major department changes should be submitted to the Committee as early as possible. Except in the most extraordinary of circumstances, the Committee cannot give immediate attention to proposals that are received after the deadline.

## E. Supplemental Information About Forms

During 2000-2001, Curriculum Committee adopted a more liberal interpretation of the qualifications for Liberal Arts credit. Courses that do not now count as Liberal Arts, but which expose students to theoretical issues, may now be considered for Liberal Arts credit.

In order to expedite processing of proposals, and to provide the Chair of the Committee with a clearer sense of upcoming proposals, proposals need to be submitted to the Chair of Curriculum Committee at the same time as they are submitted to the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity. Generally speaking, however, the committee will defer consideration of a proposal until the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity has explored the resource implications of the proposal and signed the proposal form.

Recently, the typical change in semester hours of credit has been from 3 to 4 hours. The additional hour can be provided by a contact hour or by a flexible credit hour (which typically receives greater scrutiny from the committee). You should review the guidelines for the additional hours (<a href="http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/flex4.htm">http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/flex4.htm</a>). To clarify the nature of such curricular change, please submit a description of student learning objectives and how they will be assessed.

You should review guidelines for enrollment caps at: (<a href="http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/MaxCaps.htm">http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/MaxCaps.htm</a>). With the advent of reconfiguration, course enrollment caps need to adhere to the guidelines. Only under extremely unusual circumstances will the committee approve an enrollment cap below those specified in the guidelines.

Please indicate the course level by IXX, 2XX, or 3XX. Specific numbers will be assigned by the Registrar. You can request a particular number, but the Registrar makes the final decision about numbering.

The catalog description should be carefully worded to reflect the actual content of the course. It is customary to begin the description with a sentence fragment. Please avoid passive voice, especially regarding student involvement. Also, avoid using such phrases as "in-depth" and "intense" or "this course will carefully analyze and extensively research" which add nothing substantive to the description. Please try to keep your description brief. Consult the Skidmore College Guide to Writing

http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/english/ENGLISH\_DEPT\_HP/WG/WGFRAMES.htmlfor stylistic clarification. Consult the current Catalog for examples.

Please take care with the abbreviated title. This is the course title that will appear on registration materials and on transcripts.

The course syllabus is an extremely useful document to the Curriculum Committee. It provides the committee with invaluable detail about the organizational structure of the course. To aid you in the preparation of your proposal, please consult the student learning objectives in the assessment webpage, which also includes a guide to writing student learning objectives.

These questions are all intended to elicit information that will allow the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity and the Curriculum Committee to assess the resource implications of the new course. For example, if the instructor is currently teaching a full load of courses and is proposing a new course, it is essential for the committee to know which course is being replaced by the new course. Will the replaced course no longer be offered? Will the deletion of the course, or a reduction in the frequency with which it will be offered, have an impact on majors or on a particular program area? If the new course will require, for example, the addition of resources to the Library, such information is also quite useful.

Even if the proposal is for a course outside of the proposer's department (e.g., FYE Scribner Seminars), the Chair of the department in which the proposer resides must sign the proposal.

The Major/Minor/Program form is deceptively simple. However, the creation of a new major, minor, or program is a laborious process. The potential resource implications are significant, so the ADOF for Personnel, Development, and Diversity will need to work closely with the people proposing the new major, minor, or program. Revisions to existing majors, minors, and programs may also have resource implications, so they will also be reviewed carefully by the Associate Dean before bringing the proposal to the Curriculum Committee.

## F. Links To Other Curriculum Information

- Guidelines for a First-Year Seminar: http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/Guidelines%20for%20a%20FYS.doc
- Writing Intensive Course Guidelines: http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/guideWritIntensive.html

 Quantitative Reasoning 2: <a href="http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/qr2-rev.htm">http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/qr2-rev.htm</a>

#### • CEPP Guidelines:

Includes: Culture-Centered Inquiry, Humanities, Social Sciences, Natural Sciences, and Arts <a href="http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/CEPPguidelines-2.htm">http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/curric/CEPPguidelines-2.htm</a>

• *Honors Forum*: http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/hfc/

# VI. Faculty Response to Student Integrity Problems

- **A.** Establish your own integrity expectations clearly and positively as part of the intellectual process and content of each course. See the "The Ethics of Scholarship" for suggestions).
- **B.** Explicitly address grade penalties for violations of the academic honor code on your syllabus, especially if these penalties lie outside the <u>Definitions and Guidelines</u> document and provided to students when they first enter Skidmore. For example, some faculty adhere to a "zero tolerance" policy on plagiarism that results in a failing grade regardless of the severity of the offense. Students should be alerted to the existence of such a policy <u>before</u> it is applied.
- **C.** For help with suspected plagiarisms, consider using the <u>Direct Submit</u> option through Blackboard.
- **D.** If you believe you are facing a case of student academic dishonesty, consult the *Definitions and Guidelines* document, gather the evidence carefully, and then consult with the Associate Dean of Faculty for Academic Policy & Advising or with the Director of Academic Advising.
- **E.** Talk privately with the student about your suspicions or certainty, trying to treat the issue in a relatively dispassionate and objective manner. Try not to be impressed or swayed by initial student anger, denial, tears, or special pleading; rather, address the evidence, the problem, and the expectations of the Honor Code. Keep a written record of your interactions with the student.
- **F.** If you decide to respond directly to the infraction, please work within Skidmore's integrity definitions and penalty guidelines or adhere to the guidelines you establish on your syllabus when assigning a grade. Note that violations of the academic honor code may also impact the student's eligibility for academic honors and distinctions, study abroad, etc. and will be reported to external agencies as appropriate. These consequences of an infraction are described in the <u>Sanctions and Further Impacts</u> document and made available to students in the <u>Academic Integrity Handbook</u>.
- **G.** Report in writing to the Associate Dean of Faculty, <u>all</u> demonstrable academic integrity infractions together with your response thereto. (Note that Skidmore faculty have committed themselves to full reporting in the *Faculty Handbook* and through subsequent faculty legislation of 1995 and 2000.) Also supply a copy of the academic materials in question and, for a case of

plagiarism, a copy of the source or sources. Failure to report an infraction may help hide a recurrent pattern and also results in unequal justice.

- **H.** Regardless of whether you continue to discuss the charges with the student, *do not address the academic integrity violation with the student's parents*. The infraction is protected under FERPA, and any discussion with the student's parents not only violates the student's FERPA rights, but risks complicating the case with incomplete or inaccurate information on the college's judicial process. Direct parents to the ADOF for Academic Policy and Advising.
- **I.** You may prefer to request an Integrity Board hearing. Consult with the Associate Dean on this process and refer to links provided below. Note that a student who denies his or her guilt may also request a formal hearing. No action of the Integrity Board may set aside or modify a grade that you have assigned. Further, if the integrity charge is sustained, the Integrity Board may not set aside the reporting and eligibility consequences of an infraction described in the *Sanctions and Further Impacts* document.
- J. If the reported infraction turns out to be a second offense, the ADOF for Academic Policy and Advising is likely to call for a formal hearing to consider the larger picture, in which case the faculty involved in each infraction will be asked to participate, in effect, as a "witness." Note that 95 percent of reported infractions are single offenses and are resolved as the individual faculty member intended and without a hearing being requested or required.
- **K.** Office of Academic Advising Integrity Portal <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/advising/integrity/index.php">http://cms.skidmore.edu/advising/integrity/index.php</a>
  - Definitions and Guidelines
  - Further Impacts of Academic Integrity Violations
  - Academic Integrity Checklist
  - The Ethics of Scholarship
- **L.** Library Resources on Academic Integrity: <a href="http://lib.skidmore.edu/library/index.php/academic-integrity">http://lib.skidmore.edu/library/index.php/academic-integrity</a>

# VII. Helpful Links:

Campus Safety Webpage - <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/campus safety/index.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/campus safety/index.cfm</a>

- Skidmore College Comprehensive Emergency Plan
- Biohazardous Waste Management Policy & Exposure Control Plan -<a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1">http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1</a>
   <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1">http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1</a>
   <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1">http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1</a>
   <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1">http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1">http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1">http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1">http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1">http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1">http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1">http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile.cfm&pageID=1">http://cms.skidmore.edu/health/loader.cfm</a>
- Environmental Health & Safety for Academic Affairs http://cms.skidmore.edu/ehs/

#### Human Resources - <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/index.cfm">http://cms.skidmore.edu/hr/index.cfm</a>

Employee Handbooks includes information on:

- Immigration Compliance
- Drug-Free Campus
- Reasonable Accommodation
- Policies Against Workplace Violence
- Inclement Weather Procedure
- Ergonomics Program

## Information Technology: Policies and Procedures - <a href="http://www2.skidmore.edu/cits/policies.cfm">http://www2.skidmore.edu/cits/policies.cfm</a>

- Copyright Policy
- Email Privacy Policy
- Web Page Creation and FTP Site Violations

Dean of the Faculty Offices Webpage: http://cms.skidmore.edu/Dean of the Faculty/index.cfm

# Office of Academic Advising Webpage - <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/dean\_of\_studies/">http://cms.skidmore.edu/dean\_of\_studies/</a>

- Classroom Protocols: Notes for Skidmore Faculty
- Guidelines for Independent Studies
- Students in Distress: A Guide for Skidmore Faculty and Staff
- Academic Honors, Prizes, and Awards

First Year Experience - <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/fye/">http://cms.skidmore.edu/fye/</a>

Office of Off-Campus Study and Exchange - http://cms.skidmore.edu/ocse/index.cfm

Office of the Registrar - <a href="http://www.skidmore.edu/registrar/">http://www.skidmore.edu/registrar/</a>

Foundation and Corporate Relations - <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/foundations/">http://cms.skidmore.edu/foundations/</a>

Office of Sponsored Research - <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/sponsored\_research/">http://cms.skidmore.edu/sponsored\_research/</a>

Office of Student Academic Services - http://cms.skidmore.edu/academic\_services/

• Assistance for Students with Disabilities

#### PART THREE

#### TRAVEL AND ENTERTAINMENT POLICY

The Skidmore College Travel and Entertainment Policies can be found online at <a href="http://cms.skidmore.edu/financial\_services/upload/SKIDMORE-COLLEGE-TRAVEL-POLICY-August-2009.pdf">http://cms.skidmore.edu/financial\_services/upload/SKIDMORE-COLLEGE-TRAVEL-POLICY-August-2009.pdf</a> Effective January 1, 2009

# I. Purpose

These policies are intended as a guide to reimburse individuals for College-related travel and entertainment expenses. The responsibility to observe the guidelines rests both with the traveler and the chairperson or administrator who certifies conformance to these guidelines by approving the expenditure(s). This policy applies to anyone who incurs travel or entertainment expenses paid by Skidmore College, regardless of the source of funds. The College will reimburse for reasonable travel, meals, lodging and out-of-pocket expenses incurred in the transaction of College business. This document outlines policies and procedures in general terms to allow reasonable discretion for travelers. Departments may implement more restrictive policies and procedures that departmental personnel should adhere to. The policy is not expected to cover every possible situation. Federally funded awards may have additional requirements.

# II. Responsibility

These policies and procedures are also necessary to comply with Federal tax law and third party sponsoring agency regulations. They will ensure consistent and fair treatment between departments throughout the College and the uniform reporting of financial results. In general, the quality of travel, accommodations, entertainment and related expenses should be governed by what is reasonable and appropriate to the purpose involved. The College respects the personal integrity and discretion of each member of its faculty and staff and conducts expense account affairs accordingly. Skidmore's travel meets the IRS definition of an "accountable plan." As a result, travel reimbursements do not have to be reported as income to the traveler. Under the accountable plan, travel advances and reimbursement of expenses must meet four requirements:

- They must have paid or incurred deductible expenses while performing services as your employees.
- Travelers must provide a statement substantiating the amount, time, use and business purpose of expenses within a reasonable amount of time (not to exceed 60 days) after the expenses are incurred. Original detailed receipts must be attached to the statement.
- Employees must return any advance amounts in excess of substantiated expenses within a reasonable period of time (not to exceed 60 days).
- If an employee does not substantiate expenses and/or return any excess advance within a reasonable period of time (60 days), this amount must be treated as if it were paid under a non-accountable plan and must be treated as salary, subject to withholding, on the employee's Form W-2.

In order for business travel expense reimbursements to remain tax-free to the employee, the policies and procedures that follow must be adhered to.

# III. Skidmore College Travel Policy

## A. Costs. The most cost-effective mode of travel should be used based on itinerary:

#### 1. Air Travel

- Southwest Airlines is the preferred airline.
- Appropriate for travel beyond a 200-mile radius from campus. Arrangements should be
  made through the Skidmore travel on-line website at
  (<a href="http://www.skidmore.edu/administration/travel">http://www.skidmore.edu/administration/travel</a> with your corporate visa card, not your
  departmental purchasing card (We encourage use of the corporate card because the
  College receives a rebate based on the total volume of the card usage).
- If you find a more economical flight outside of the Skidmore Travel website, please book
  it and forward a copy of the itinerary to the Purchasing office to be logged into our travel
  database.
- Coach travel only (otherwise individual covers incremental cost).
- Personal excess baggage charges, flight insurance, etc. are not reimbursed. The College is
  not responsible for costs associated with lost luggage (In extenuating circumstances,
  please discuss with your Supervisor or Chair).
- Credit card bill is mandatory for airline ticket reimbursement prior to trip.

#### 2. Train Travel

- Encouraged when cost effective, especially to New York City.
- Recommend arrangements to be made through Skidmore travel website. Contact AAA Northway if assistance is needed.
- Coach travel only (otherwise individual covers incremental cost).

#### 3. Personal Automobile

When employees use their own vehicle on authorized College business, it is their personal insurance policy that is primary coverage for liability and physical damage coverage. It is important that the individual have adequate coverage, as Skidmore's insurance provides non-ownership liability for exposure to the College only (this means the insurance covers only the College in the event of a lawsuit). Appropriate for travel within a 200-mile radius of campus. Reimbursed at 56 cents per business mile for as of January 01, 2014 (adjusted annually per IRS guidelines).

- Maximum reimbursement for mileage is 400 miles round trip.
- Tolls and parking fees reimbursed at actual cost.
- Gas, oil, repairs, maintenance, fines, etc. are not reimbursed.
- The College will reimburse personal insurance deductible for damages sustained while on business when employee is not at fault (police report required).

#### 4. Automobile Rental

The College's insurance provides for both liability and physical damage for employees who rent vehicles while on authorized College business. The coverage extends for car rentals in the US, Canada, Puerto Rico and US territories (therefore please waive the rental agency's insurance coverage). For vehicles rented in a foreign country, the College's international policy covers liability only, and therefore, you must secure physical damage coverage from the rental agency. If any rental vehicle will be in your custody for a period in excess of 30 days, please notify Business Services. Employee's personal effects, while within the vehicle, are not covered by College insurance unless the vehicle is no more than 1,000 feet from campus (limit of \$25,000). If a loss or damage occurs to your personal property outside of this radius, please submit the loss directly to your homeowners/renters insurance.

- Local rental appropriate only when cost effective.
- Recommend arrangements to be made through AAA Northway.
- Luxury vehicles not allowed.
- Collision damage coverage is not reimbursed.
- Return car with full gas tank. Refueling charges by the rental agency are not normally reimbursed.

# B. Motor Vehicle Policy

- All full time, part time and temporary employees, including students, work-study students and interns, who may be authorized to drive College owned, leased or rented motor vehicles must:
  - Possess a current valid US driver's license and be at least 18 years of age. Note: if a rental
    or leased vehicle will be used, compliance with that Agency's age requirements is
    required.
  - Apply for College motor vehicle driving privileges using the "Driver Authorization Application" (and any other required forms) and be accepted in accordance with the College's MVR Standard listed in section IV.
  - Agree to operate College motor vehicles in accordance with applicable local, state and federal laws and College's regulations, at all times. (This agreement is found on the bottom portion of the Application for Driver Authorization, and must be signed by the driver prior to the time eligibility is conferred).
  - Wear seat belts, when provided in a motor vehicle, and ensure that any passengers do as
  - Operate vehicle in the performance of officially authorized College business only, unless otherwise designated.
  - Understand that smoking is prohibited at all times in College vehicles (owned, leased or rented).
  - Understand the payment of all driver related traffic violations and citations will be the sole responsibility of the driver.
  - Report any change in license status (e.g., convictions, if your license has been suspended or revoked) to Business Services, x 5812, immediately.

- **2.** Renewal of Driving Privileges for subsequent academic years, can be obtained by the authorizing department/individual contacting Business Services (518-580-5812) and requesting a renewal (i.e. forms previously completed will be reactivated). Notification of approval/denial will be forwarded within 4 business days.
- **3.** Driver authorization applications. A copy of the driver authorization application is attached.

Departments: Please return this form with a copy of the applicant's driver's license to the Office of Business Services.

http://www.skidmore.edu/safety\_committee/motor\_vehicle\_policy/

All College personnel (including faculty, staff, and students) MUST complete this form in order to be approved to operate a College owned, leased or rented vehicle for the purpose of College business. Carefully read this form and provide the following information:



Office of Business Services 518-580-5812

# **EMPLOYEE DRIVER AUTHORIZATION APPLICATION**

(APPLICATION MUST BE APPROVED PRIOR TO DRIVING)

All College faculty and staff MUST complete this form in order to be approved to operate a College owned, leased or rented vehicle on Skidmore College business. Carefully read, complete, and return this form with a copy of the applicant's driver's license to Business Services.

NAME (exactly as it appears on driver's license) CAMPUS PHONE			EMPLOYEE ID # (off ID or Paystub)	
HOME ADDRESS (add	dress that appears on driver's lic	ense) CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
			☐ YES	□ NO
D/O/B	DRIVERS LICENSE #	STATE	LICENSE HEL	D OVER 3 YRS?
DEPARTMENT	TITLE		SUPERVISOR	
driving a College owner released only to those policies. I understand that I had driving record may resunderstand that Busin for the College until I in		nderstand that the discrimination of the College illege of operating proval or denial of	nis information will be a the College's insur and any negative che a College owned, loof my driver authorize	te kept confidential and ance and employment name in the status of my eased or rented vehicle. Ration, and I will not driv
New York LENS progra	eby grant permission to Skidmor om for the duration of my emplo ge of any motor vehicle convictio	yment in my curr		
SIGNATURE				
DATE				

## C. Lodging, Meals and Incidentals

#### 1. Lodging:

- Recommend arrangements to be made through Skidmore Travel website. Lodging costs should be kept to a minimum.
- Cost up to \$150 per night. Cost up to \$300 in High Cost Areas<sup>3</sup> (see list below).
- Conference rates are reimbursable.
- Staying with friends or family is encouraged (appropriate gift is reimbursed, up to \$50).
- Sales Tax Exemption (hotel rooms).
- Exempt from sales tax in New York, Florida, Massachusetts, and Connecticut. Obtain appropriate forms from Accounts Payable or from Purchasing web page (NY only). Some states accept NYS exemption; check with vendor.

#### Meals and Alcoholic Beverages:

- Actual cost of meals and incidentals up to an average of \$60 per full day is reimbursed.
- For partial days, allowance is \$10 breakfast, \$15 lunch, \$30 dinner, \$5 incidentals.
- For High Cost Areas³ an additional \$20 per day is reimbursable.
- If conference fees include meals, daily allowance is reduced accordingly (see above).
- Entertainment expenses (meals for guests) should be reported separately.
- The general College policy is <u>not</u> to reimburse for alcoholic beverages. If incurred, payments
  for alcoholic beverages should be billed separately and paid for by the employee directly and
  are not eligible for reimbursement. An exception is allowed only in special circumstances for
  certain donor, advancement, and similar purposes, determined in advance by the Cabinet
  member responsible for approving the expense.
- Note: if the cost exceeds the above guidelines Director or Chair must approve.
- Reimbursable incidentals include such things as tips, brief phone calls home. Personal
  hygiene items, child care, etc. are not reimbursed. Personal entertainment (movies, games,
  etc.) are not reimbursed.
- In an effort to promote a healthy lifestyle, we will reimburse health club fees if the hotel that you are staying at does not have a fitness room for use free of charge.
- 3. Cash Advances. Cash advances must be approved by supervisor, Director or Chair (\$25 dollar minimum; over \$1,000 must be pre-approved by DOF/VPAA). Request made on standard "Check Request Form" with purpose and dates of trip. Cash obtainable up to \$250; otherwise check (Tuesday request issued Friday). Previous advances must be cleared before new advance is issued. Advances must be cleared within thirty-days after returning from your trip.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> High Cost Areas: New York City, Boston, Newark, Philadelphia, Washington, D.C., Atlanta, Miami, Chicago, Dallas-Fort-Worth, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Seattle. This list is not inclusive. Other cities may qualify as well.

## 4. College Guest Travel

- When the College is paying for guest travel (job candidates, trustees, consultants, etc.), we encourage arrangements be made through AAA Northway or Travel Guard Chartis for reservations for International Travel.
- Please use your corporate card whenever possible.
- Include your credit card bill with your expense report, (this enables exchange rates to be handled efficiently) for cash transactions, please include exchange rates.
- Exceptions must be recommended by the appropriate Dean or Vice President (in such cases, please submit written explanation with Travel Expense Report), but final disbursement authority rests with the Office of Financial Services.

# IV. Entertainment Policy

Reasonable expenses when interacting with external constituencies are reimbursed (trustees, donors, alumni, parents, vendors, job candidates, professional guests, etc.). Interactions among faculty/staff/students are not generally reimbursed.

#### A. Meals

- 1. Expenses for local dining involving non-College personnel are reimbursable when the purpose of the meeting is to conduct College business and when it is necessary or desirable to have the meeting in conjunction with or during a meal. This includes our guests such as speakers, visiting artist, writers etc. Reimbursement up to \$50 per person. Please use the Downtown discount business cards whenever possible. Note: if the cost exceeds the above guidelines Director or Chair must approve.
- **2.** Entertainment expenses should be reasonable in relation to the nature of the function and the resulting business benefit expected to be derived from the expenditure.
- **3.** A list of names must be indicated on the expense report along with the purpose of the entertainment. Some examples of this type of entertainment would be for alumni functions and entertaining donors or prospective donors.
- **4.** Generally no more than 2 or 3 faculty/staff should dine off-campus with each guest. Spouse/partner may be included if guest's spouse/partner is present.

#### B. Entertainment of Students

- 1. Light refreshments for receptions, lectures, and other special events are reimbursable. (Food Service should cater such events if more economical).
- **2.** Occasional entertainment of student volunteers by Chairs/Directors as a "thank you" is reimbursable.
- **3.** Occasional (at most once per semester) inexpensive entertainment (pizza, etc.) of paid student workers by Chairs/Directors as a "thank you" is reimbursable.

# C. Entertainment of Faculty/Staff Members

- **1.** Entertainment of fellow faculty/staff is not normally reimbursed. The College will not normally pay for meals (on or off campus) for faculty/staff meetings.
- **2.** Annual staff retreats are reimbursable; such events should be budgeted and charged to Account Number 7430.
- **3.** Individual Faculty/staff going away parties, retirement, or holiday celebrations are not reimbursed (Campus wide parties must be catered by Food Service).

## D. Gifts

- 1. Individual and /or departmental gifts for parting, retirement, thank you, holidays, etc. are not reimbursed.
- **2.** A gift for the non-faculty/staff host of a gathering is reimbursable up to \$50.

## E. Illness, Birth and Condolence Gestures

- 1. For employee inpatient hospital stays, please contact Human Resources and they will send an appropriate gift (approximately \$35).
- **2.** For birth or adoption, the College will send a \$50 savings bond and congratulatory card (contact Human Resources).
- **3.** In case of death of member of employee's immediate family, the College will send a memorial contribution of \$25 (contact Human Resources). Further gestures made by individuals are not reimbursed.

## F. Exceptions to the Policy

Exceptions must be recommended by the President or appropriate Dean or Vice President (in such cases please submit written explanation to Accounts Payable), but final disbursement authority rests with the Office of Financial Services (Revisions 1/04;1/07;7/08;4/09;8/09)

# **INDEX**

Academic Assessment	58
Annual Assessment Report	58
Direct Assessment Methods	60
Indirect Assessment Methods	62
Role of the Chair	60
Academic Program Review	
Program Review Timeline and Details	64
Academic Program Reviews	
Draft Itinerary for Reviewer's Visit	
Guidelines	
Appointment and Review of Department Chairs	52
Appointment, Review, and Evaluation of Directors	
Authorization to Employ (ATE)	
Authorization to Employ (ATE) and Advertisement	
Contract Request	
CAPT Calendar	
CEPP- Curriculum Committee Statement on Maximum Caps	
<u>-</u>	
Curriculum Changes requiring Committee Approval	
Department/Program Biennial Reports	
Guidelines	
Department/Program Reviews	
Directory	
Dean of the Faculty Staff	
Department Chairs	
Program Directors	
DOF/VPAA Staff	
Evaluation of Program Directors and Faculty assigned to Programs	
Faculty Evaluation	
Annual Faculty Evaluation Letters	
Guidelines for Individual Faculty Annual Reports and Summary of Activities	
Second-Year Reappointment Cases for Tenure-Track Faculty	
Suggested Guidelines for Writing Letters in Reappointment and Tenure Cases	
Third-Year Reappointment Cases for Tenure-Track Faculty	
FACULTY ON LEAVE ~ 2014-2015	
Faculty Recruitment	
Campus visits	
Checking References	
Developing a Position Description, Profile and Advertisement	
Developing an Inclusive Search Committee	24
Diversity in Hiring	20
Strategic Considerations	
Faculty in Contingent Appointment Requests	
Guidelines for Use of Research Funds for New Tenure-Track Faculty	
Interview and Selection	
Legal and Illegal Pre-Employment Inquiries Protocol/Guidelines for Making an Offer	
Recruiting an Inclusive Candidate Pool	
Resources	
Search Guidelines for Faculty in Contingent Appointments	
Tenure-Track Appointment Requests	

Helpful Links	76
Important Dates for Departments and Programs 2014-2015	4
Pay Scales for Adjunct Faculty and FTE Chart	37
Personnel Policies and Handbooks Links	56
Phased Employment Guidelines	52
Readings	10
"Department Chairs" by A. Arslanian	
"Lessons Learned as a Department Chair"	
"Myths that Make Chairs Feel They are Powerless"	
"Tomorrow's Academia, Advice for Future Department Chairs"	
Reappointment and Tenure Eligibility	7
Retention of Files in Department Offices	68
Retirement Process	51
Student Integrity Problems	74
Travel and Entertainment Policies	
Entertainment Policy	
Motor Vehicle Policy	
Reporting and Approval of Expenditures	85
Travel and Entertainment Policy	78
Lodging, Meals and Incidentals	