

MC215: MATHEMATICAL REASONING AND DISCRETE STRUCTURES

- Monday, 9/15/08
- **READING:** 2.2
- From last time: Bad proofs
- **EXERCISES:**
 - pp. 86-87: 3, 6, 21, 30, 31, 41
- Disproof
- Proof by cases
- Indirect proof = proof of contrapositive
- Proofs of equivalence = "if and only if" proofs

Monday, 9/15/08, Slide #1

Disproof

- How do we disprove the universally quantified statement, "If $P(x)$ then $Q(x)$ "?
 - If it's true, then it's true for **every x** .
 - If it's false, that means **there exists an x for which it's false**.
- **Find a counterexample:** An x for which $P(x)$ is true, but $Q(x)$ is false.
- **Example:** Disprove the statement, "If n is prime, then so is $n^2 - n + 11$."

Monday, 9/15/08, Slide #2

Proof by cases

- Suppose we have a theorem of this form:
If $P(x)$ or $Q(x)$ then $R(x)$
- This is equivalent to **two** theorems:
 - If $P(x)$ then $R(x)$, **and**
 - If $Q(x)$ then $R(x)$
- **Direct proof by cases:**
 - Case 1. Assume $P(x)$; prove $R(x)$.
 - Case 2. Assume $Q(x)$; prove $R(x)$.

Monday, 9/15/08, Slide #3

Cases depending on parity

- Sometimes it helps to use cases, even when they're not explicit in the hypothesis:
 - A proof about integers can be different, depending on whether a variable is even or odd.
- **Theorem.** Suppose $n \in \mathbf{N}$ is a perfect square. Then either n or $n-1$ is a multiple of 4.
- **Proof.** Assume n is a perfect square. Then $n = m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$.
 - **Case 1.** m is even.
 - **Case 2.** m is odd.

Monday, 9/15/08, Slide #4

Cases depending on sign

- **Absolute value function:** For $x \in \mathbf{R}$,
 - $|x| = x$, if $x \geq 0$,
 - $-x$, if $x < 0$
- Proofs involving absolute value are frequently done in cases, depending on whether the variables involved are ≥ 0 or < 0 .
- **Theorem.** $|x||y| = |x| |y|$.
- **Proof?** Hint: Do 4 cases depending on whether x and y are ≥ 0 or < 0 .

Monday, 9/15/08, Slide #5

Indirect Proof

- **Indirect Proof** of an implication $P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$ means proving its **contrapositive**. (It does *not* mean "proof by contradiction," as our text says.)
- **Statement:**
 - If $P(x)$ then $Q(x)$.
- **Contrapositive:**
 - If $\neg Q(x)$ then $\neg P(x)$.
- **Indirect Proof:**
 - Assume $Q(x)$ is false.
 - Prove $P(x)$ is false.

Monday, 9/15/08, Slide #6

Example, proof strategy

- Give an indirect proof of: For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, if $3n + 2$ is odd, then n is odd.
 - **Indirect Proof begins:** "We prove the contrapositive, so we assume that We must prove that"
- **Proof strategy: To prove "If $P(x)$, then $Q(x)$:"**
- **FIRST:** Try out (at least think about) *direct proof*:
 - Assume $P(x)$ is *true*.
 - Prove $Q(x)$ is *true*.
- **SECOND:** If that seems hard, try out *indirect proof*:
 - Prove $Q(x)$ is *false*.
 - Assume $P(x)$ is *false*.

Monday, 9/15/08, Slide #7

Equivalence, or "if and only if" proofs

- The statement, $P(x) \Leftrightarrow Q(x)$, is equivalent to the two statements, $P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$ and $Q(x) \Rightarrow P(x)$.
 - It's also written " **$P(x)$ if and only $Q(x)$** ," or for short, " **$P(x)$ IFF $Q(x)$** ."
- It's really *two* statements, which require *two separate proofs*.
 - "**Necessity (of Q) proof: $P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$** "
Assume $P(x)$, prove $Q(x)$.
 - So $Q(x)$ is a "necessary condition" for $P(x)$
 - "**Sufficiency (of Q) proof: $Q(x) \Rightarrow P(x)$** "
Assume $Q(x)$, prove $P(x)$.
 - So $Q(x)$ is a "sufficient condition" for $P(x)$.

Monday, 9/15/08, Slide #8

Example

- **Theorem.** An integer n is odd if and only if n^2 is odd.

Monday, 9/15/08, Slide #9
