

Example results from last time: Which are equivalence relations?

Relation	Reflexive	Symmetric	Anti-symmetric	Transitive	Partial Order	Equivalence Relation
On \mathbf{R} : $x \leq y$	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	
On $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$: \subseteq	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	
On \mathbf{N}^+ : $a b$	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	
On \mathbf{R} : $x = y$	YES	YES	NO	YES	NO	
On $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$: $=$	YES	YES	NO	YES	NO	
On \mathbf{N}^+ : "same parity"	YES	YES	NO	YES	NO	
On \mathbf{R} : $x > y$	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	
On \mathbf{N}^+ : "relatively prime"	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	

Wednesday, 10/22/08, Slide #4
Printed 10/21/2008 8:36 PM

From equivalence relation to partition

- If R is an equivalence relation, define a partition of S by putting two elements in the **same subset** if and only if they are **equivalent to each other**.
- **Notation:** $[a]$ denotes the **equivalence class of a** .
- In example: We call each element a **representative** of its class.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\triangle_1] &= \{ \triangle_1, \triangle_7, \triangle_8 \} \\
 &= [\triangle_7] \\
 &= [\triangle_8]
 \end{aligned}$$

Wednesday, 10/22/08, Slide #5
Printed 10/21/2008 8:36 PM

Theorem: Equivalence relation induces partition

- **Theorem.** If R is an equivalence relation on S , and we define, for each $a \in S$, $[a] = \{b \in S \mid (a, b) \in R\}$, then the collection of all the equivalence classes is a partition of S .
- **Proof.**
 - 1. Show any two equivalence classes are the same or are disjoint. We do this by showing:
 - If $[a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset$, then $[a] = [b]$.
 - 2. Show that every element of S is in some equivalence class.

Wednesday, 10/22/08, Slide #6
Printed 10/21/2008 8:36 PM

From partition to equivalence relation

- Given a partition of S into subsets S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k , define a relation R on S by $(a,b) \in R$ if and only $a,b \in S_k$ for some k
- Theorem.** The relation defined above is an equivalence relation.
 - Reflexive? Symmetric? Transitive?
- The two processes,
 - Equivalence relation \rightarrow partition, and
 - Partition \rightarrow Equivalence relationare *inverse* operations.

Wednesday, 10/22/08, Slide #7
Printed 10/21/2008 8:36 PM

Equivalence classes; congruence mod n

- Observation about equivalence classes:
 - If $(x, y) \in R$, then $[x] = [y]$. Why?
 - If $(x, y) \notin R$, then $[x] \cap [y] = \emptyset$. Why?
- Congruence mod n on \mathbf{Z} .**
 - Let n be a positive integer, and $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$.
 - We say " a is congruent to b modulo n " if $a - b$ is divisible by n .
 - We write $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.
 - Said another way:
 $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if there is an integer k such that $a - b = kn$.
- Theorem.** Congruence mod n is an equivalence relation.

Wednesday, 10/22/08, Slide #8
Printed 10/21/2008 8:36 PM

Example and properties of the "modulo n " equivalence relation

- Example:** If $n = 4$, what are the equivalence classes induced by the "modulo 4" equivalence relation?
- Theorem.** If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$, then
 - $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$
 - $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$
- We defined, as a *function* on \mathbf{Z} , $a \bmod n =$ remainder when a is divided by n .
- Theorem.** $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if $a \bmod n = b \bmod n$.

Wednesday, 10/22/08, Slide #9
Printed 10/21/2008 8:36 PM
