

Minutes of the Faculty Executive Committee

FEC-6

Monday, April 26th, 2010

11:15 – 12:15 p.m.

Harder 209

Present: John Brueggemann, Pat Hilleren (scribe), Dan Hurwitz (Chair), Karen Kellogg, Reg Lilly, Natalie Taylor

- I. *Minutes*: Minutes from 4/12 outstanding; Minutes from 4/19 approved.
- II. *Scheduling Problems in the Zankel*: FEC received a request for FEC's assistance in resolving scheduling issues within the Zankel. Dan will propose a meeting with Chuck J. and Tom D. in Music.
- III. *CAS*: Kate Graney requested an update in the CAS operating code in response to the Failing Grade Policy to request that FEC make appointments based on WTS for committee replacements. Dan H. will send note of approval to Kate.
- IV. *Committee of Committees Meeting*: Scheduled for April 29th, at 4:00 pm in the Faculty-Staff Lounge. Dan H. will invite current and incoming Chairs of governance committees to attend.
- V. *Dissolution of IRC*: FEC will support the move (proposed by Susan K., on behalf of IRC) to sunset IRC.
- VI. *Stop the Tenure Clock Motion*: FEC discussed the CAPT's plan to introduce a motion on the floor of the faculty to provide a mechanism whereby faculty would have an opportunity to stop the tenure clock.
- VII. *Round IV WTS*: The following issues were brought to Natalie's attention:
 - A. We need to correct the link on HCC that is currently and erroneously linked to Faculty Governance to instead link to the All College committee website.
 - B. There was an oversight on the Round IV WTS that excluded members of CAFR- Natalie will send a personal invitation to all members of CAFR inviting them to run in the election.
 - C. Need to change Honors Code Commission to Honor Code Commission

The election will begin this week and run through Friday, April 30th.

FEC will not make any appointments until the election is over since some individuals are running in multiple spots.

VIII. *Open Letter on Faculty Appointments*: FEC discussed the recent email exchanges concerning the “Guidelines for 2010-11 Tenure Track Proposals”. At issue is the idea that tenure track lines will be distributed from two ‘pots’: 100% disciplinary hires, and shared-disciplinary hires. Some of the questions that have been raised are:

-Is there a preferential type of hire?

-Does this represent an important shift in institutional hiring practices?

-Should the entire faculty (not just chairs/program directors) have the opportunity to weigh-in on these guidelines *before* their implementation?

Dan H. will request that the Dean of Faculty meet with the faculty *writ large* to discuss this issue- perhaps as a Committee of the Whole in an upcoming faculty meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 12:15

Respectfully submitted,
Pat Hilleren